

(Related: S17-0016, Site #7)

File Number: CUP-018-0002
Date Received: 8-7-2018

Receipt No.: 3386
Amount: \$239

APPEAL FORM

(For more information, see Section 17-22.220 of the Zoning Ordinance)
130.52.090

Appeals must be submitted to the Planning Department with appropriate appeal fee. Please see fee schedule or contact the Planning Department for appeal fee information.

APPELLANT Bonnie Way
ADDRESS P.O. Box 791 6790 Gods Way
Lotus, CA 95651
DAYTIME TELEPHONE 916 206 6209

A letter from the Appellant authorizing the Agent to act in his/her behalf must be submitted with this appeal.

AGENT In Pro Per
ADDRESS _____
DAYTIME TELEPHONE _____

APPEAL BEING MADE TO: Board of Supervisors Planning Commission

ACTION BEING APPEALED (Please specify the action being appealed, i.e., approval of an application, denial of an application, conditions of approval, etc., and specific reasons for appeal. If appealing conditions of approval, please attach copy of conditions and specify appeal.)

Approval of AT+T CAF4 project Site #7 Gold Hill

Please see attached

2018 AUG - 7 PM 4: 18
RECEIVED
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

DATE OF ACTION BEING APPEALED July 26, 2018

Bonnie M. Way
Signature

8/7/2018
Date

I am filing an appeal with the Board of Supervisors against the Conditional Use Permit for the AT&T CAF4 project Site #7.

I am filing an appealing for the following reasons:

- 1) The Planning Commision was wrong in granting the Conditional Use Permit. The Specific Findings for the Conditional Use Permit were not considered / made prior to the approval of the Conditional Use Permit application. Specifically that it "would not be detrimental to the public health." and it is.
- 2) All other alternative proposed sites for the telecommunications tower did not get full consideration prior to the decision of the site on Gods Way. At the meeting the Planning Commission reported that an additional 5 properties were considered but the Planning Commission received "no interest or no response" from land owners of other locations. The Planning Commission should continue to look into the properties where they received no response until they do get a response.
- 3) There is an "Open Space" designated parcel to the South of the proposed site that is at higher elevation and farther away from the public who do not want the tower next door to them. A tower at this site would probably provide more service to more people and cause less problems for the neighbors who oppose the tower on Site #7.
- 4) The tower is unsightly and it will be visible right outside my front door. It will be marring of the landscape and ruining the aesthetics of my property and surrounding area.
- 5) The unfairness to other telecommunications providers in the area that already serve that area and provide excellent coverage to home owners in that area.
- 6) The potential increase in fire danger from the tower.
- 7) The potential reduction of my property value caused by the tower.
- 8) The harm the tower will cause to all the birds and wildlife in the area.
- 9) The decline in my health since the approval of the tower.
- 10) The potential worsening of my health if the tower gets built.
- 11) There are several neighbors who live adjacent to the tower site who signed a petition that I submitted to the Board of Supervisors at the last meeting stating they do not want the tower next door to them for various reasons.
- 12) None of the persons who signed the petition including myself received proper notification of all the meetings held by the County Planning Commision. We each only received one notification / letter informing us of one upcoming meeting and there was more than just one meeting. If properly informed, people would have attended these other meetings.

RECEIVED
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
AUG - 7 PM 4: 18