

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

Larry Weitzman <larryb58tc@gmail.com> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us Mon, Nov 19, 2018 at 3:12 PM

Jim My email susystem keeps making the same mistake

Larry Weitzman Placerville, Ca ------ Forwarded message ------From: Larry Weitzman <larryb58tc@gmail.com> Date: Mon, Nov 19, 2018 at 3:08 PM Subject: Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure) To: <edc.cob@edcgoov.us>

Jim, Sorry about my typing the wrong address initially. Here is my email for tomorrow's BOS calendar on item 16. Please include in the record and for the BOS members.

Larry Weitzman Placerville, Ca

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Date: Mon, Nov 19, 2018 at 2:34 PM Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure) To: <larryb58tc@gmail.com>



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **edc.cob@edcgoov.us** because the domain <u>edcgoov.us</u> couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

The response was:

DNS Error: 27556294 DNS type 'mx' lookup of edcgoov.us responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: edcgoov.us

18-1540 Public Comment Rcvd 11-19-18 https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1?ik=35d558a9e7&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-f%3A1617605846044182382&simpl=msg-f%3A16176058460... 1/3 ------ Forwarded message ------From: Larry Weitzman <larryb58tc@gmail.com> To: edc.cob@edcgoov.us Cc: Bcc: Date: Mon, 19 Nov 2018 14:34:34 -0800 Subject: Fwd: BOS calendar item for 11/20/18, item #16, legistar # 18-1540 Jim, Please include on item 16 for tomorrow's (11/20/18) BOS meeting.

Thanks,

Larry Weitzman

------ Forwarded message ------From: The BOSTHREE <bosthree@edcgov.us> Date: Mon, Nov 19, 2018 at 2:16 PM Subject: Re: BOS calendar item for 11/20/18, item #16, legistar # 18-1540 To: <larryb58tc@gmail.com>

Hello, Your email message has been received by District Three. Thank you, Kathy *Kathy Witherow* Assistant to Supervisor Brian K. Veerkamp District Three - El Dorado County 530.621.5652

On Mon, Nov 19, 2018 at 2:11 PM Larry Weitzman <a>larryb58tc@gmail.com wrote:

> Dear Mr. Ashton and Board members,

> The above reference item concerns the purchase of 13 Chevy Suburban Police

> Pursuit Vehicles. This matter should be pulled for further study for

> several reasons. First this is not about not buying police pursuit vehicle

> as the County needs to purchase and replace its vehicles. This is about

> buying the correct vehicle and spending money less in the process.

>

> The second reason is the Board Calendar is wrong and in error so proper

> notice has not been given. The calendar agenda proposes to purchase 13 2019

Chevrolet Suburban Police Pursuit Vehicles. There is no such vehicle in
production which according to the agenda item that the county specifies

> for purchase as there is no Chevrolet Suburban Police Pursuit Vehicle in

> existence or manufactured. Buying such a vehicle and converting it for

> police use would be at great liability to El Dorado County.

>

> Third. In resaearching this item and the paperwork on the legistar,it
> turns out the vehicle the County proposes to purchase is not the item on
> the agenda, but a different vehicle, the Chevrolet Tahoe Police Pursuit
> vehicle which is in production, but that is not the vehicle as noticed in

> the agenda item.

> Fourth, the Chevrolet Tahoe is a much more expensive vehicle than the

> current police pursuit vehicle used by the County, the Ford Explorer Police

> Interceptor. The price differential is as much as \$10,000 per vehicle.

>

> Fifth. In tests conducted in Michigan and in Southern California by L.A.

> County Sherrif's Department, the Ford Explorer outperforms the Chevy Tahoe

> in both acceleration and top speed by a huge margin. The 0-60 acceleration

> times recorded by a Chevy Tahoe police vehicle was 7.68 seconds. A four

> cylinder Toyota Camry is quicker (Most of you know that I test and write

> about motor vehicles). Our current Ford Explorer Police vehicle gets to 60

> mph is less than six seconds and the new 2020 model about to enter

> production achieves that bench mark in 5.6 seconds. Ford Explorer Police 18-1540 Public Comment Rcvd 11-19-18

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1?ik=35d558a9e7&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-f%3A1617605846044182382&simpl=msg-f%3A16176058460... 2/3

> Interceptors are all wheel drive vehicles.

- >
- > Sixth, Fuel economy. The Ford Explorer gets significantly better fuel
- > economy by about 4-5 mpg over the Chevy Tahoe saving the county hundreds,
- > if not thousands of dollars per year per vehicle in fuel costs. But Ford
- > Explorer now makes a hybrid electric police interceptor. It is not a plug
- > in, but operates without the need for external changing. The benefit of
- > this design in fuel saving are huge. Police cars during a shift idle for
- > 4.9 hours a day on average as it is police practice not to turn a vehicle
- > off. With the new hybrid the vehicle remains on but the engine cycles off.
- > The savings in fuel per day is about 2.5 gallons alone or about 1,000
- > gallons a year in fuel with the additional fuel savings during driving.
- > That's about \$3,000 a year per vehicle or during a three to five year
- > service life anywhere from \$9,000 to \$15,000 per vehicle.

>

> As to performance. certified testing showed the 0-60 mph acceleration to

- > be 7.2 seconds or half a second quicker than the Chevy Tahoe. The new Ford
- > Hybrid Police Interceptor is projected to cost about \$4,000 less per
- > vehicle than the Chevy Tahoe.

>

> The Chevby Tahoe and Ford Explorer are essentially the same size and have

- > the about the same interior volume.
- ----- Message truncated -----