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## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO

RICHARD KNIESEL, KNIIESEL'S AUTO COLLISION CENTER, INC., and KNIESELS PROPERTIES 3 LLD

Petitioners/Plaintiffs,
v.

COUNTY OF EL DORADO, EL DORADO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, and DOES 1 through 20 , inclusive,

Respondents/Defendants.

This matter came regularly before this court on March 20, 2009, for hearing in Department 9 of the Superior Court, the Honorable Patrick Riley presiding. Todd Williams and Robert Laurie appeared as attorneys for petitioners Richard Kniescl, Knlesel's Auto Collision Center, Inc. and Kniesels Properties 3 LLC (collectively "Knlesel"). Stephen Mason appeared as
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attorney for respondents County of EI Dorado and El Dorado County Board of Supervisors ("County").

The record of administrative proceedings having been filed and received into evidence and examined by the court, additional evidence having been received by the court through Kniesel's request for judicial notice, arguments having been presented, and the court having made a statement of decision and order, which has been signed and filed,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. A peremptory writ of mandamus shall issue from the court remanding the proceedings to respond and commanding respondent to set aside its decision of January 29, 2008, and Resolution 113-2008 dated April 22, 2008, in the administrative proceedings entitled Appeal of Application \#S07-0011/PD95-0016-R(3).
2. The writ shall further command respondent to reconsider its action in light of this court's statement of decision, and to take any further action specially enjoined on it by law; but nothing in this judgment or in that writ shall limit or control in any way the discretion legally vested in respondent.
3. Petitioner shall recover costs in this proceeding, in the ampunt of \$3,508.46. DATED:Cluenen 32009

