### 2019 TIM Fee Schedule Resolution #: XXX-2019 CONTRACT ROUTING SHEET

Date Prepared:	11-6-17	Need Date: A	SAP
PROCESSING D Department:	EPARTMENT: Department of Transportation	CONTRACTOR: Name: N/A	
Dept. Contact: Phone #:	Natalie K. Porter 530-621-5442	Address:	
Department	Department of Transportation	Phone:	
Head Signature:	and S M		
Service Requeste Contract Term: <u>N</u>	Human Resources requiren	d Approval Contract Amendment V gents? Yes:	/alue: No:
COUNTY COUNS Approved: <u>X</u> Approved: <u>The hearing for th</u>	EL: (Must approve all con Disapproved: Disapproved: e 2019 Minor Update to the / 21, 2019. The attached F	9 HEAL	By: Bre Molby By: Bre Molby M) Fee Program is c opt the Traffic Impact
Ple	use spe edits	on draft.	<del>9 9</del>
Resolution require	s County Counsel review a	and approval – initials confirm	approval.
RISK MANAGEM Approved: <u>k</u> Approved: <u>Nutling for for</u>	ENT: (All contracts and Mo Disapproved: Disapproved:	OU's except boilerplate grant Date: <u>(/24 ( /8</u> Date:	funding agreements) By: By:
OTHER APPROV Departments: Approved: Approved:	AL: (Specify department(s Disapproved: Disapproved:	) participating or directly affer Date: Date:	cted by this contract).           By:           By:



### RESOLUTION XXX-2019

OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO

### Adopting the El Dorado County General Plan Traffic Impact Mitigation (TIM) Fee Program 2019 TIM Fee Schedule

**WHEREAS,** the County Board of Supervisors has long recognized the need for new development to help fund the roadway, bridge, and transit improvements necessary to serve that new development; and

**WHEREAS,** starting in 1984 and continuing until the present time, the Board of Supervisors has adopted and updated various fee resolutions to ensure that new development on the western slope pay its fair share of the costs of improving the County and state roadways necessary to serve that new development; and

**WHEREAS,** General Plan Measure TC-B requires the County to adopt a traffic impact mitigation fee program and to update the program annually for changes in project costs; and

**WHEREAS,** in accordance with those General Plan requirements and implementation measure, Ordinance No. 5045 (El Dorado County Code Chapter 12.28) aprovided that said fees shall be adjusted annually by an increase or decrease in actual project costs (accomplished by updating cost estimates using actual construction costs of ongoing and completed projects and the most current cost estimates for those projects that are far enough along in the project development cycle to have project cost estimates) or pursuant to the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Index, as appropriate; and

**WHEREAS,** project costs have been updated as required by Ordinance No. 5045, resulting in revisions to the TIM fee schedule as shown on Exhibit A hereto; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors held a duly noticed public hearing during which updates to the fee schedule were studied and reviewed and the Board of Supervisors thereafter made the following findings in support of the updates to the fee schedule:

### **Government Code Section 66001(a)(1): Identify the purpose of the fee.**

The purpose of the TIM Fee is to fund capital transportation/circulation improvements which are related directly to the incremental traffic/vehicle burden imposed upon the County's transportation/circulation system by new development in the unincorporated west slope of El Dorado County through 2035. The TIM Fee and TIM Fee program are an implementation measure, as required by Implementation Measure TC-B of the 2004 General Plan adopted by the County Board of Supervisors: "2004 El Dorado County General Plan: A Plan for Managed Growth and Open Road; A Plan for Quality Neighborhoods and Traffic Relief." The TIM Fee program addresses the need to fund a road system capable of achieving the traffic level of service standards of the County's General Plan. Transportation improvements funded by the TIM Fees include future improvements as well as improvements already installed which are subject to reimbursement agreements. Improvements include, but are not limited to, new local roads, local road upgrades and widenings, signalization and intersection improvements, operational and safety improvements, Highway 50 improvements, and bridge replacement and rehabilitation. The TIM Fee advances a legitimate County interest by enabling the County to provide infrastructure to new development and to require new development to pay its fair share.

### Government Code Section 66001(a)(2): Identify the use to which the fee is to be put. If the use is financing public facilities, the facilities shall be identified. That identification may, but need not, be made by reference to a capital improvement plan as specified in Section 65403 or 66002, may be made in applicable general or specific plan requirements, or may be made in other public documents that identify the public facilities for which the fee is charged.

The fee is to be used to fund transportation/circulation improvements necessary to accommodate new development in the unincorporated west slope of El Dorado County through 2035 as contemplated by the General Plan, including future improvements as well as improvements already installed which are subject to reimbursement agreements. The TIM Fee will fund new local roads, local road upgrades and widenings, signalization and intersection improvements, operational and safety improvements, Highway 50 improvements, bridge replacement and rehabilitation, transit improvements in accordance with the El Dorado County Transit Authority's Capital Improvement Program (CIP), and costs associated with ongoing program staff and consultant costs for annual updates, major updates, and ongoing administration related to the TIM Fee Program. The County's CIP, which is updated and adopted annually, identifies every project to be funded by the TIM Fee and includes the following information for each project: detailed cash pro-formas which show all revenues by funding source and all expenditures per fiscal year; a current year work program; a future work program broken down into five year, ten year, and twenty year timeframes; and additional details for each capital project, including project description, a financing plan, and tentative schedule.

### Government Code Section 66001(a)(3): Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

There is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed as set forth in the following documents, all of which are incorporated into this resolution as if fully set forth herein:

- The *Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program Update Nexus & Funding Model* (Nexus Study) prepared by Urban Economics and Kittelson and Associates, Inc. The cost estimates in the Nexus Study were updated by County staff for the 2019 TIM Fee Program Annual Update, dated May 14, 2019, and the updated project costs are attached as Exhibit C.
- The most currently adopted El Dorado County Capital Improvement Program.
- The 2016 Programmatic Environmental Impact Report for the Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County, certified on December 6, 2016.
- The Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County Addendum to the Environmental Impact Report, March 2018.

There is a reasonable relationship between the TIM Fee's use and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed because the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the TIM Fee are needed to accommodate and mitigate the incremental new traffic/vehicle burdens generated by the development of new commercial, industrial, and residential uses upon which the fee is imposed. (See documents cited above.) There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the transportation/circulation facilities and the development of new commercial, industrial, and residential projects upon which the fee is imposed because the new development projects paying the fee will receive a direct benefit from the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee; the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee will increase traffic/vehicle circulation capacity on streets and highways directly burdened by the increase in traffic/vehicles generated by new development projects upon which the fee is charged.

### Government Code Section 66001(a)(4): Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

There is reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed as set forth in the following documents, all of which are incorporated into this resolution as if fully set forth herein:

- The *Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program Update Nexus & Funding Model* (Nexus Study) prepared by Urban Economics and Kittelson and Associates, Inc. The cost estimates in the Nexus Study were updated by County staff for the 2019 Annual TIM Fee Program Update, dated May 14, 2019, and the updated project costs are attached as Exhibit C.
- The most currently adopted El Dorado County Capital Improvement Program.
- The 2016 Programmatic Environmental Impact Report for the Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County, certified on December 6, 2016.
- The Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County Addendum to the Environmental Impact Report, March 2018.

There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed because the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the TIM Fee are needed to accommodate and mitigate the incremental new traffic/vehicle burdens generated by the development including those from new commercial, industrial, and residential uses upon which the fee is imposed. (See documents cited above.) There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the transportation/circulation facilities and the development of projects including new commercial, industrial, and residential projects upon which the fee is imposed because the new development projects paying the fee will receive a direct benefit from the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee; the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee will increase traffic/vehicle circulation capacity on streets and highways directly burdened by the increase in traffic/vehicles generated by new development projects upon which the fee is charged.

The previously adopted Nexus Study provides a thorough analysis of the required transportation facilities to be improved as a result of development and provides information of the fair share analysis and fees required by TIM Fee Zone that is further broken down by development type. The TIM Fee Program Schedule Resolution, which may be amended from time to time, provides the most current TIM Fee rates per development type by TIM Fee Zone.

**WHEREAS**, the collection process for improvement of roadways and intersections is set forth in Ordinance No. 5045 and in the TIM Fee Administrative Manual, adopted on January 24, 2017 by Resolution 001-2017.

### THERFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED,

- A. The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts the updated General Plan TIM Fee Program fee schedule as shown in the attached Exhibit A, which shall become effective sixty (60) days following adoption of this Resolution, and the updated project costs as shown in the attached Exhibit C; and
- B. A map of the TIM Fee Zones is provided in Exhibit B; and
- C. Applicants shall pay the TIM Fee rate in effect at the time of building permit issuance or at the tie of approval of an application for a change in the use of a building or property as provided in County Code Chapter 12.28 and the TIM Fee Administration Manual.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of said Board, held the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2019, by the following vote of said Board:

Ayes: Noes:

Absent:

Attest: James S. Mitrisin Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Deputy Clerk

Chair, Board of Supervisors

## Table 1: Hwy 50 TIM Fee Schedule - 2019 Update


			Zone	e	Zone	_	Zone	Zone	ŭ	Zone	Zone	ŭ	Zone	Zone	er
	EDU	Fee per:	٢		2		3	4		5	9		7	8	
Residential	Co	ost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >> \$		2,552	\$ 11,721 \$	1 \$	11,721	\$ 3,009	\$	2,997	\$ 2,682	\$	5,695	\$	9,163
SFD Not Age Restricted	1.00	Dwelling Unit	ω	2,552	\$ 11,721	6 <del>9</del>	11,721	\$ 3,009	φ	2,997	\$ 2,682	ь	5,695	¢	9,163
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.62	Dwelling Unit	ج	1,582	\$ 7,267	\$	7,267	\$ 1,866	ŝ	1,858	\$ 1,663	Ь	3,531	\$	5,681
SFD Age Restricted	0.27	<b>Dwelling Unit</b>		NA	\$ 3,165	ŝ	3,165	NA		NA	NA		NA	69	2,474
MFD Age Restricted	0.25	Dwelling Unit		NA	\$ 2,930	\$	2,930	NA		NA	NA		NA	¢	2,291
Nonresidential	S	Cost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >> \$		1,480	\$ 6,798	69 60	6,798	\$ 1,745 \$	69	1,738 \$	\$ 1,556 \$	\$	3,303	69	5,315
General Commercial	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	<del>с</del> э	0.75	\$ 3.47	\$	3.47	\$ 0.89	ω	0.89	\$ 0.79	ь	1.68	¢	2.71
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.08	Room	εs	118	\$ 544	\$	544	\$ 140	Ş	139	\$ 124	¢	264	\$	425
Church	0.10	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	ŝ	0.15	\$ 0.68	\$	0.68	\$ 0.17	ŝ	0.17	\$ 0.16	\$	0.33	\$	0.53
Office/Medical	0.33	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	¢	0.49	\$ 2.24	\$	2.24	\$ 0.58	ŝ	0.57	\$ 0.51	\$	1.09	\$	1.75
Industrial/Warehouse	0.23	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	\$	0.34	\$ 1.56	\$ <del>9</del>	1.56	\$ 0.40	ŝ	0.40	\$ 0.36	ω	0.76	ъ	1.22
<sup>1</sup> "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per	emand placed	on the transportati	on networ	k relative	e to one single	family d	letached dwel	ing unit. EDU 1	actors ar	e expresse	ed per dwelling	g unit for re	esidential de	velopme	int, per
room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all oth	re feet for all o	ther nonresidential development	developn	nent.											
N/A = Non Applicable															

N/A = Non Applicable Source: Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program Update Nexus & Funding Model (El Dorado County, 2019)

# Table 2: Local Roads TIM Fee Schedule - 2019 Update

### Table 3: Total TIM Fee Schedule - 2019 Update

	3		ž	Zone	Z	Zone	Zo	Zone	ň	Zone	Zone	0	Zone		Zone		Zone
	EDU <sup>1</sup>	Fee per:		1	2.5	2		0		4	5		9		7	_	8
Residential	S	ost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	\$	4,651	53	20,769	69	20,769	69	4,737	\$ 4	4,711 \$		7,533 \$	11,747	\$ 24	29,293
SFD Not Age Restricted	1.00	Dwelling Unit	ф	4,651	\$	20,769	\$	20,769	ω	4,737	\$ 4,7	4,711 \$	\$ 7,5	7,533 \$	3 11,747	7 \$	29,293
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.62	Dwelling Unit	ŝ	2,883	ŝ	12,877	\$ 1	12,877	ь	2,937	\$ 2,9	2,921	\$ 4,6	4,671 \$	3 7,283	8 0	18,162
SFD Age Restricted	0.27	Dwelling Unit		NA	\$	5,608	\$	5,608		NA		NA		NA	NA	\$	7,909
MFD Age Restricted	0.25	Dwelling Unit		NA	¢	5,192	\$	5,192		NA		NA		NA	NA	A \$	7,324
Nonresidential	G	ost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	69	2,697	69	12,046	\$	12,046	69	2,747	\$	2,732 \$	\$ 4	4,370 \$	6,813	3 \$	16,990
General Commercial	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	ь	1.37	¢	6.15	¢	6.15	¢	1.40	\$	1.40	\$	2.23 \$	3.47	2 \$	8.66
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.08	Room	ь	215	\$	964	\$	964	\$	220	\$	219 3	\$	349 \$	545	2 2	1,359
Church	0.10	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	<del>6</del>	0.27	\$	1.20	\$	1.20	\$	0.27	\$	0.27	\$	0.44 \$	0.68	8 8	1.70
Office/Medical	0.33	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	\$	0.89	¢	3.97	¢	3.97	\$	0.91	\$	0.90	\$	1.44 \$	3 2.25	5 2	5.60
Industrial/Warehouse	0.23	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	\$	0.62	\$	2.77	¢	2.77	\$	0.63	\$	0.63	\$	1.01 \$	1.57	2 \$	3.91
<sup>1</sup> "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed	mand placed	on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per	on netw	ork relativ	e to one	single fan	nily detac	ched dwelli	ng unit.	EDU fact	ors are ex	cpresse	d per dwe	illing uni	t for residenti	al devel	opment, per
room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all oth	e feet for all of	ther nonresidential development	develop	oment.													
N/A = Non Applicable																	
Source: Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program Update Nexus & Funding Model (EI Dorado County, 2019)	Update Nexu	s & Funding Model	I (EI Do	rado Cour.	1ty, 2015	(6											



S
5
Ð
0
2
<u>н</u>
5
Ð
Ε
Ð
2
0
0
~
-
e
H
÷Ĕ
m
ö
d)
able
at
Ĥ

				F	Inflation				
				Adj	Adjustment	Pro	Project Cost		
River	Crossing	2017 To	2017 Total Cost	(201	(2017 - 2019) <sup>1</sup>	Adj	Adjustment <sup>2</sup>		Total Cost
Indian Creek	Green Valley Rd	\$	5,618,000	ŝ	196,630			ŝ	5,814,630
Mound Springs Creek	Green Valley Rd	\$	5,638,000	ω	ı	в	405,000	в	6,043,000
Weber Creek	Green Valley Rd	\$ 12	2,115,000	ŝ	I	ŝ	(200,000)	Ф	11,915,000
South Fork American River	Salmon Falls Rd	\$ 11	1,182,500	ь	391,388			в	11,573,888
Clear Creek	Sly Park Rd	\$	3,804,000	ь	I	в	(258,000)	θ	6,546,000
Weber Creek	Cedar Ravine Rd	с \$	3,248,000	ŝ	113,680			θ	3,361,680
Carson Creek	White Rock Rd	\$	4,792,500	ŝ	167,738			θ	4,960,238
North Fork Cosumnes River	Mt. Aukum Rd	\$	4,792,500	ស	167,738			θ	4,960,238
North Fork Cosumnes River	Bucks Bar Rd	\$	8,556,000	ŝ	299,460	ŝ	ı	မာ	8,855,460
Total		\$ 62	\$ 62,746,500	θ	\$ 1,336,633	ф	(53,000)	θ	64,030,133
New Development Share <sup>1</sup>									11.47%
TIM Fee Program Share								Ф	7,344,256
<sup>1</sup> Inflation adjustment is 3.5% based on the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Index between November 2017 and January 2019. <sup>2</sup> Project cost adjustments were provided by the Department of Transportation and based on the 2019 CIP. <sup>3</sup> Development share based on federal funding for 88.53 percent of total costs. The remaining share is 11.47 percent. This share is less than the TIM Fee Program share that could be allocated of 20 percent based on EDUs from new development in 2035 as a percent of total EDUs in 2035.	ne Engineering News-Rect 1 by the Department of Tra Inding for 88.53 percent of 20 percent based on EDU	ord Building nsportation total costs Is from nev	g Cost Index n and based s. The remai w developme	betweer on the 2 ning sha nt in 20	n November 20 019 CIP. rre is 11.47 per	17 and cent. T	l January 2019. This share is lesi al EDUs in 2035.	s tha	n the TIM Fee
Sources: County of El Dorado, Engineering News-Record	ng News-Record.								

morente	
÷	
Cafatur	Salerv
0	うざ
Interestion	
	•
5	
Toblo	3016
Ĥ	-

					New		
				New	Development Number	Number	TIM Fee
	Cost per	Inflation	Inflation 2019 Cost per Development	Development	Cost per	of	Program
	Location <sup>1</sup>	Adjustment <sup>1</sup>	Adjustment <sup>1</sup> Intersection <sup>2</sup>	Share <sup>3</sup>	Location Locations	Locations	Share
Intersection Tier 1 - Existing Deficiency	\$ 1,917,000	\$ 67,095	67,095 \$ 1,984,095	20% \$	\$ 396,819	e	3 \$ 1,190,457
Intersection Tier 2 - Future Deficiency	\$ 1,917,000	\$ 67,095	\$ 1,984,095	100%	\$ 1,984,095	19	37,697,805
Safety Improvements	\$ 1,196,000	\$ 41,860	θ	20%	\$ 247,572	10	2,475,720
TIM Fee Program Share			5				\$ 41,363,982
<sup>1</sup> Inflation adjustment is 3.5% based on the Engineer	on the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Index between November 2017 and January 2019.	Iding Cost Index bet	ween November 201	7 and January 2019.			
<sup>2</sup> Based on \$350,000 for signalization plus \$1,450,000 for channelization. Includes intelligent transportation systems (ITS).	00 for channelization.	Includes intelligent t	transportation system	is (ITS).			
<sup>3</sup> To avoid funding to correct an existing deficiency and to fund only that share that benefits new development, TIM Fee Program share for Tier 1 intersections is based only on EDUs from new development in 2035 as a percent of total EDUs in 2035.	and to fund only that s s in 2035.	hare that benefits ne	w development, TIM	Fee Program share	for Tier 1 intersection	ons is based only	on EDUs from
Sources: Engineering News-Record; County of El Dorado; Table 5.	orado; Table 5.						

### Table 8: Transit Capital Projects

							New	
				Inflation			Develop-	TIM Fee
		Unit	2017 Total	Adjustment	Project Cost		ment	Program
	Amount	Cost	Cost	(2017 - 2019) <sup>1</sup>	Adjustment <sup>2</sup>	Total Cost	Share <sup>1</sup>	Share
County Line Transit Center <sup>2</sup>								
Land			\$ 1,875,000					
Construction			4,200,000					
Total			\$ 6,075,000	\$ 212,625		\$ 6,287,625		\$ 1,257,525
Cameron Park Park-and Ride <sup>2</sup>			\$ 3,650,000	\$ 127,750		\$ 3,777,750	20%	20% 755,550
Missouri Flat Transfer Point Expansion <sup>3</sup>			\$ 287,550	\$ 10,064		\$ 297,614	100%	297,614
Vehicles Required for Service Expansion <sup>3</sup>								
Dial-A-Ride Vans	10	\$ 44,730	\$ 447,300	\$ 15,656		\$ 462,956		
Local Route Buses	2	343,995	\$ 2,407,965	\$ 84,279		\$ 2,492,244		
Commuter Bus	-	532,500	\$ 532,500	\$ 18,638		\$ 551,138		
Total			\$ 3,387,765	\$ 118,572		\$ 3,506,337	100%	3,506,337
Total			\$ 13,400,315 \$ 469,011	\$ 469,011		\$ 13,869,326		\$ 5,817,026
<sup>1</sup> Inflation adjustment is 3.5% based on the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Index between November 2017 and January 2019.	News-Record	<b>Building Cost</b>	Index between Nove	smber 2017 and Ja	nuary 2019.			
<sup>2</sup> Project cost adjustments were provided by the El Dora	ado County Tra	nsit Authority.	by the EI Dorado County Transit Authority and based on the Park-and-Ride Master Plan (2017).	ark-and-Ride Maste	ır Plan (2017).			
<sup>3</sup> For capital projects that benefit existing and new development, TIM Fee Program share is based only on EDUs from new development in 2035 as a percent of total EDUs in 2035.	Ipment, TIM Fe	e Program sh	are is based only on	I EDUs from new d	evelopment in 2035	as a percent of tots	al EDUs in 203	

<sup>4</sup> Facilities serve existing and new development so share assigned to TIM Fee Program based on new EDUs as a percent of total EDUs in 2035.

<sup>5</sup> Costs based on Western El Dorado County Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan (2014). Transfer point and vehicle fleet are expansion projects to serve new development so costs allocated 100

Sources: El Dorado County Transit Authority; Engineering News-Record; Table 5.

El Dorado County

ct Costs
) Proje
(CIP
Program
nprovement
Capital I
Fee
TIMI
Table 9:

											Г
ac M	CIP					Inflation	Project Cost		Other		
ID		Project Name	From	To	2018 Total Cost	Adjustment <sup>1</sup>	Adjustment <sup>2</sup>	2019 Total Cost	Funding <sup>3</sup>	Net Cost	
Hwy	50 Auxiliary Lanes	1es									
A-1	53125	Aux. Lane Eastbound	County Line	El Dorado Hills Blvd IC	\$ 6,933,683	\$ 242,679		\$ 7,176,362	۰ ډ	\$ 7,176,000	
A-2	GP148	Aux. Lane Eastbound	Bass Lake Rd IC	Cambridge Rd IC					1		
A-3	53126	Aux. Lane Eastbound	Cambridge Rd IC	Cameron Park Dr IC	\$ 9,311,828	\$ 325,914		\$ 9,637,742		\$ 9,638,000	
BOS											
Date .											
. Mav	53127										
4											
201											
თ		Aux. Lane Eastbound	Cameron Park Dr IC	Ponderosa Rd IC	\$ 8,925,765	\$ 312,402		\$ 9,238,167	1	\$ 9,238,000	
A-5	53128	Aux. Lane Westbound	Ponderosa Rd IC	Cameron Park Dr IC	\$ 9,543,465	\$ 334,021				\$ 9,877,000	-
9-6	53US50	Aux. Lane Westbound	Cameron Park Dr IC	Cambridge Rd IC		\$ 415,975	L	-		-	
A-7	53117	Aux. Lane Westbound	Bass Lake Rd IC	Silva Valley Pkwy IC		\$ 203,764			t		
A-8	53115	Aux. Lane Westbound	El Dorado Hills Blvd IC	County Line	\$ 5,976,248			\$ 6,185,417	1	\$ 6,185,000	<i>c</i> .
		Subtotal			\$ 67,802,295	\$ 2,373,080	' ዎ	\$ 70,175,375	۰ ج	\$ 70,175,000	
HWY	50 Interchanges	Hwy 50 Interchanges Projects		1							
-1	71323	El Dorado Hills Blvd	NA	NA	\$ 8,925,765	\$ 312,402		\$ 9,238,167	\$ 279,434		
1-2	71345	Silva Valley Pkwy-Ph 2	NA	NA				\$ 8,441,222	1	\$ 8,441,000	
-3 1-3	71330, GP148		NA	NA					262,156		
4	71332, GP149		NA	NA	\$ 9,172,845				38,722	\$ 9,455,000	
1-5	72361	Cameron Park Dr	NA	NA					1,140,650		
<u>9</u>	71333, 71338, 71339	-	NA	NA		-			1,327,688		
1-7	71347, 71376	El Dorado Rd	NA	NA							- 1
		Subtotal			\$ 152,569,268	\$ 5,339,924	• ج	\$ 157,909,192	\$ 3,230,182	\$ 154,679,000	
Roa	Roadway Improvements	ents									
R-1	72143	Cameron Park Dr	Palmer	Toronto Rd		\$ 304,045		\$ 8,991,045	1	\$ 8,991,000	
R-2	_	Green Valley Rd	County Line	Sophia Pkwy	\$ 2,115,550	, Ф			\$ 2,030,550	\$ 85,000	_
R-3	GP178, GP159		Francisco Dr	Silva Valley Rd					1		
R-4	72374	White Rock Rd	Post St	South of Silva Valley Pkwy					E.		
R-5	_	Missouri Flat Rd	China Garden Rd	State Route 49					7		
R-6	713	Saratoga Way	Iron Point Rd	El Dorado Hills Blvd	\$ 18,788,000	\$ 657,580	\$ (50,000)		T		
R-7		Country Club Dr	El Dorado Hills Blvd	Silva Valley Pkwy						-	
R-8		Country Club Dr	Silva Valley Pkwy	Tong Rd					1		
R-9		Country Club Dr	Tong Rd	Bass Lake Rd	-	\$ 464,036	\$ (502,564)	Ċ.	ľ		
R-10		Country Club Dr	Bass Lake Rd	Tierra de Dios Dr			\$ 6,663,770			1	-
R-11		Diamond Springs Pkwy	Missouri Flat Rd	State Route 49	20,	7		20,	\$ 11,738,125	6	
R-12		_ 1	White Rock Rd	Golden Foothill Pkwy					1		
R-13		d Extension	El Dorado Rd	Missouri Flat Rd	\$ 6,748,000	\$ 236,180	- 1	\$ 6,984,180	\$ 2,050,330		
R-14		Rd	US 50	N of New Country Club Dr.			\$ (12,760,000)	ю	I		
R-15		Latrobe Rd	Investment Blvd	Golden Foothill Pkwy		\$ 292,425		ŝ		\$ 8,647,000	
R-16	72381	White Rock Rd	County Line	Windfield Way	- 11		- 11	ся	- 11		]
		Subtotal			\$ 140,101,400	\$ 4,051,476	\$ (7,162,154)	\$ 136,990,722	\$ 16,044,005	\$ 120,949,000	

₹
2
2
ŏ
0
σ
La
0
Ω
Ш

Project Costs
(CIP)
Program
Improvement
Capital
TIM Fee
Table 9:

23				and a sector of						
	CIP									
Map	Project					Inflation	Project Cost		Other	
₽	No.	Project Name	From	То	2018 Total Cost	Adjustment <sup>1</sup>	Adjustment <sup>2</sup>	2019 Total Cost	Funding <sup>3</sup>	Net Cost
Reimb	Reimbursement Agreements <sup>2</sup>	eements <sup>2</sup>								
NA	71352	Bass Lake Rd	South of Serrano Parkwa	way				\$ 3,692,152	' ዓ	\$ 3,692,152
NA	72332	Green Valley Rd	Green Valley Marketplac	ace				300,000	1	300,000
NA	66116	Latrobe Connection	Project Study					275,117		~ 275,117
AN	66108	Madera Way	Right Turn Lane					125,574	•	125,574
NA	71328	Silva Valley Pkwy	Interchange Phase 1					16,380,137	1	16,380,137
NA	76107	Silver Springs Pkwy	Green Valley Rd Intersection	ction				2,139,483	•	2,139,483
NA	66108	Silver Springs Pkwy	Offsite					3,862,152	ï	3,862,152
		Subtotal						\$ 26,774,615	•	\$ 26,774,615
Other	Program Cost	Other Program Costs (new development fair share of total costs only	hare of total costs only)							
AN	NA	Bridges	Replacement		\$ 7,113,637			\$ 7,344,256	۰ ج	\$ 7,344,000
NA	AN	Intersections & Safety	Intersection Operational Imps. & Safety Projects	Imps. & Safety Projects	\$ 39,965,200			41,363,982		\$ 41,364,000
NA	53118	Transit	Capital Improvements		\$ 5,620,315			5,817,026	-	\$ 5,817,000
NA	See Footnote 3	See Footnote 3 Fee Program Admin		& Updates	\$ 11,000,000	\$ 385,000		11,385,000	1	\$ 11,385,000
		Subtotal			\$ 63,699,152			\$ 65,910,264	۰ ب	\$ 65,910,000
				Total				\$ 457,760,169	\$ 19,274,187	\$ 438,487,615
								100%	4%	86%
<sup>1</sup> Inflatic <sup>2</sup> Adjust	on adjustment is 3 ments based on a	Inflation adjustment is 3.5% based on the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Adjustments based on actual costs or updated cost estimates; Bass Lake Road In	Vews-Record Building Cost Inc imates; Bass Lake Road Inter	<sup>1</sup> Inflation adjustment is 3.5% based on the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Index between November 2017 and January 2019. <sup>2</sup> Adjustments based on actual costs or updated cost estimates; Bass Lake Road Interchange includes the signalization of the EB RAmps for \$1,150,000; limit changes for Bass Lake Road widening including shift of costs to Country Club	I January 2019. of the EB RAmps for	\$1,150,000; limit ch	anges for Bass Lak	ke Road widening inclu	uding shift of costs t	to Country Club
Realign	ment; move signs	al costs from Country Club btw Tr	ong and Bass Lake Road to C	Realignment; move signal costs from Country Club btw Tong and Bass Lake Road to Country Club btw Bass Lake Road and Tierra de Dios Dr.	I and Tierra de Dios D	<u>.</u>				100000 - 1000000
<sup>3</sup> Repre Facilitie	sents amounts sp s Financing Plan,	pent through June 30, 2015 and t (2) Green Valley Rd. net cost ret	the following anticipated fundir iflects El Dorado County's sha	<sup>3</sup> Represents amounts spent through June 30, 2015 and the following anticipated funding: (1) Bass Lake Rd. interchange includes \$22,164 spent to date and a revised estimate of \$24,000 in funding through the Bass Lake Hills Public Facilities Financing Plan, (2) Green Valley Rd. net cost reflects EI Dorado County's share plus inflation adjustment with remaining funding from City of Folsom and other sources, and (3) Diamond Springs Parkway project (Phases 1A and 1B)	e includes \$22,164 spe emaining funding from	ent to date and a rev City of Folsom and	ised estimate of \$2 other sources, and	240,000 in funding thro I (3) Diamond Springs	ough the Bass Lake Parkway project (P	Hills Public hases 1A and 1B)
total co.	st represents 2 ac	total cost represents 2 additional lanes and anticipated funding to come from state and federal sources.	inding to come from state and	federal sources.						

<sup>4</sup> Based on payments remaining as of July 1, 2017 and excluding reimbursement agreements to be retired in FY 2016 (see Table 13).
<sup>5</sup> Includes ongoing program staff and consultant costs for annual updates, major updates (every five years), and ongoing administration related to the TIM Fee Program.