## El Dorado County Board of Supervisors District III 530-621-5652

## Memo

COPY SENT TO BOARD MEMBERS FOR THEIR INFORMATION

To:

Supervisor Santiago & Members of the Board of Supervisors

From:

Supervisor Sweeney

Date:

10/19/2009

Re:

South Lake Tahoe-El Dorado County Recreational Area (09-0506)

EL DOPADO COLNIY

Contrary to messages received by me, I am not the party responsible for the list of things that are needed before the improvements along the beach portion of the subject can be agreed upon. I have publicly stated many issues at the past meetings where our Board considered the matter.

The following issues are some items for consideration; however, do not consider this as a closed list as responses hereto may provoke additional issues.

- 1.. No map or plan for the proposed project has been presented for the Board to consider other than conceptual ideas presented in 2008.
- 2.. No document should be agreed to that postpones issues to future agreements; if the master lease needs amending, DO IT NOW! Perhaps a separate lease could be considered for the lakefront improvement area?
- 3.. The proposed project reflects some new conceptual name that is in conflict with the agreed name referenced in the lease.
- 4.. Per my 7-20-09 letter (09-0506.7A) and per the 12-12-72 lease:
  - A.. #10 "The beach area located North and West of Highway 50 is to be maintained by Lessee as a public beach " and
  - B.. #11 "Any buildings constructed in the area lying North and West of Highway 50, shall be constructed so as not to interfere with the view of Lake Tahoe".

We will need evidence in order to make findings that these conditions will not be violated.

- 5.. We probably need to review and agree to an overall Master Plan for the total lease area.
- 6.. It appears, as to item 13 of the lease that "no building shall be constructed or placed within 750 feet of the centerline of Highway 50, South and East of Highway 50". That being the case, the deeding of the 15.48 acres to the City of South Lake Tahoe per deed Book 1189 Page 88 in 1973 appears to have taken up ALL of such buildable space.
- 7.. My recollection is that we have used all of the coverage in exchange for buildings at the court facilities; it would be well to verify that coverage issue.