

12/9/2019

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Serrano Westside (Old Executive Golf Course) rezone



PC 12/12/19
#3
Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>
3 pages

Fwd: Serrano Westside (Old Executive Golf Course) rezone

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Mon, Dec 9, 2019 at 9:03 AM

----- Forwarded message -----


From: **Laura Patane** <laurapatane1@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Dec 8, 2019 at 1:49 PM
Subject: Serrano Westside (Old Executive Golf Course) rezone
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Board of Supervisors and Planning Commission:

A letter pertaining to the Serrano Westside (Old Executive Golf Course) rezone is attached. Thank you for all of your time and attention to this matter.

Best regards,

Laura Patane

 **Rezone Letter- Laura Patane.pdf**
32K

Laura Patane
Souza Drive
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

December 7, 2019

El Dorado Board of Supervisors
330 Fair Lane
Placerville, CA 95667

El Dorado Board of Supervisors:

My name is Laura Patane and I am writing in opposition of the Serrano Westside (Old Executive Golf Course) rezone. I am a 32 year old local pharmacist, and my husband is an Emergency Room physician. We have three children under the age of 5 years old and moved from Irvine to El Dorado Hills last year in pursuit of the excellent school systems and open space areas that El Dorado Hills has to offer. Our children and future generations' accessibility and opportunities to succeed are always a priority in our family. I am in support of the sustainable growth of El Dorado Hills, but do not consider this rezone to be sustainable to the community nor our school systems. The rezone will be detrimental to the sense of community, the reasonably accessible recreational space, the highly rated school systems, and the overall plan for the future generations of El Dorado Hills.

The removal of open space is the removal of an opportunity for our community to form connections and build a safe environment to form friendships and bonds, which was a purpose the golf course served. The CSD has made it evident that if this rezone does not occur, a new recreational area could be developed on the land. I have personally experienced the difficulty in obtaining recreational activities for my children through the CSD, due to overflowing amount of parents attempting to register their children in these classes. We have also driven our children to the Folsom Sports Complex in order to access a highly rated preschool with open enrollment. El Dorado Hills is growing and is currently in desperate need of support for our youngest generation. Mounds of research have been coming out over the past several years on how detrimental sedentary life is, especially to children. I envision this land becoming a sports complex with an integrative preschool to the surrounding schools, a enormous open-play park similar to Adventure Playground in Irvine, and/or the area surrounded by trees and walking trails for adults and elderly to live an active, social life without the inhibition of walking hills. The possibilities for this land are boundless as long as we maintain the designation of open space/ recreational. The removal of this opportunity for easily accessible recreational land is a disservice to our children and future generations. Most importantly, this land has a purpose of creating a sense of community through recreation and that purpose can still be fulfilled with the denial of the rezone.

El Dorado Hills' only high school, Oak Ridge, is currently at capacity. Many areas of El Dorado Hills are expanding with residential buildings, and we can no longer let developers create homes without providing additional capacity in our school systems. The rezone has proposed the development of 737 dwellings. If you consider an average of 2 children per family, this would add an additional 1474 students to our school systems, without any new schools being built. El Dorado Hills is already in desperate need of a new high school, as all high school aged children who live in El Dorado Hills' Blackstone are forced to attend high school at Ponderosa in Shingle

Springs. The El Dorado Hills community cannot allow developers to continue in taking advantage of our students by developing more homes without the support of building another school. A new high school is pertinent to sustainable growth.

Lastly, I would like to note that the developer, Parker Development Company, has truly done an excellent job building a high quality community in El Dorado Hills. But just because many of their previous development projects have served the community well, does not mean this project also will do the same. The development of this project is short-sighted, as it is a shortcut for the developer to profit as much as possible by building hundreds of homes while providing minimal improvements to the community's infrastructure. El Dorado Hills is growing and affordable housing will be built but not on this land and not without school sustainability. This land is spoken for. It is zoned as our easily-accessible recreational area, which promotes the sustainability of our community, not easily-accessible homes.

With the extended growth and development around us, let us be a community with a plan. What are El Dorado Hills' priorities? We must prioritize and protect the most vulnerable and most important aspects of El Dorado Hills, which are our open space/recreational land and our highly rated school systems. Communities are not built by living next to one another, they are built on gathering and experiencing with one another. Please understand the implications of zoning this land residential. If this project is approved, El Dorado Hills will never be able to recover the true purpose of this land: to form and sustain friendships and community through activity. Let us proceed with caution and remember the most important things to us: community, our children, and the legacy that we can either build or destroy for them.

Best regards,

Laura Patane, Pharm.D.
Doctor of Pharmacy
707-301-7766
laurapatane1@gmail.com

12/9/2019

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: development projects

PC 12/12/19
#3



Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Fwd: development projects

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Mon, Dec 9, 2019 at 9:04 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **cgbraver** <cgbraver@comcast.net>
Date: Sun, Dec 8, 2019 at 8:32 PM
Subject: development projects
To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

Dear EDC Planning Commission:

I am not always able to get to relevant meetings, but as a resident of EDH, I wanted to voice my opinion of the negative impact of the proposed Parker development of 356 acres along El Dorado Blvd between highway 50 and Olson Ave. My concerns are as a grandparent of school age children in already overcrowded classes, as a driver caught in traffic grids when driving the blvd during rush hour or trying to access the ramps, as a resident who pays high water bills and knows conservation is already a serious issue, and in terms of open space and other infrastructure issues. I also feel as a residence of EDH, that County governance needs to take the concerns of our community more into account when the quality of life issues are being balanced with the county tax base.

If there are particular members I should be writing to with this impact statement I would appreciate knowing.

Thanks,

Carole Braverman

El Dorado Hills

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

12/9/2019

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: CEDHSP

PC 12/12/19
#3



Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Fwd: CEDHSP

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Mon, Dec 9, 2019 at 9:04 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **The BOSONE** <bosone@edcgov.us>
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2019 at 8:59 AM
Subject: Fwd: CEDHSP
To: Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>, Jon Vegna <jvegna@edcgov.us>, John Hidahl <john.hidahl@edcgov.us>

Kind Regards,

Cindy Munt

Assistant to Supervisor John Hidahl, District 1
Board of Supervisors, County of El Dorado
Phone: (530) 621-5650
CLICK HERE to follow Supervisor Hidahl on Facebook
CLICK HERE to visit Supervisor Hidahl's web page

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sharon Nunes** <sharonnunes46@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2019 at 8:57 AM
Subject: CEDHSP
To: <bosone@edcgov.us>

Hello-

I won't take much of your time but for what it's worth will quickly share my opinion.

My parents and sisters have lived in El Dorado hills since 2004.(Serrano) I moved here in 2014. This location was hand picked by my Dad for a reason.

Let me say no where in his reasoning included visions of over populating and developing specific areas, like the old Golf course, that are zoned to stay open.

Please, vote against Parker and against the building of houses there- this is huge.

I may not be here in 3 years- but my parents will be. I can say I will be here in March to vote on the supervisors. Please show your character and moral compass and stand with the people who voted you in. I understand it may be challenging going against the powerful ones who deal the cards, just asking you to not allow them to change the cards already dealt here. Please, listen to the residents and community. If the majority is heard, I'm going to trust you will act on their behalf. Even if that means I'm in the minority here.

Thank you for your time and help here- I appreciate your work and all you do.

Best Regards,
Sharon Nunes



Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Fwd: CEDHSP Serrano Westside Project

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Mon, Dec 9, 2019 at 1:42 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Bill Osgood** <bosgood22@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2019 at 1:41 PM
Subject: RE: CEDHSP Serrano Westside Project
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

If the rezone is denied, I believe the "old golf course" will be in limbo until a future Planning Commission recommends another developer's proposal of unknown outcome.

Other than opposing any development, it appears that homeowner concerns with CEDHSP Serrano Westside center on traffic impact and compatibility with the rest of Serrano.

Approving the Serrano Associates proposal guarantees a Serrano look and feel.

Even though lower density throughout will have the least traffic impact, there may be a way to minimize it with a different arrangement of housing density than shown on the CEDHSP map.

Why not locate higher density south of Serrano Parkway and just above the CSD Park so most residents are in walking distance to the park, grocery store, restaurants, and other retail stores.

It seems to me an achievable win-win can be found in the current proposal.

Bill Osgood