Edcgov.us Mail - 10/13/20 BOS



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

10/13/20 BOS Open Forum Public Comments

1 message

Melody Lane <melody.lane@reagan.com> Tue, Oct 13, 2020 at 9:18 AM To: edc.cob@edcgov.us, john.hidahl@edcgov.us Cc: bosfive@edcgov.us, bosfour <bosfour@edcgov.us>, bosone@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us

Melody Lane – Founder, Compass2Truth

10/13/20 - Hidahl - Taxpayers - Constitutional Affidavit

Yesterday I attended the Taxpayers meeting for what was supposed to be a candidate forum for District #1 Supervisor, but as usual, Ron Briggs never showed up. That meant John Hidahl had an entire hour to answer prepared questions read by President Andy Nevis.

However when Andy finally read my written question, he then deceptively added his own twist which John Hidahl used to dodge answering my question that I ask at every candidate forum. My question was, "All elected officials are required to sign an oath of office to support and defend the national and state constitutions. If you are elected, will you sign a Constitutional Affidavit that essentially states that if it is PROVEN that you've violated your oaths of office, that you will IMMEDIATELY resign and/or allow the people to remove you from office WITHOUT your objection or protest?"

Every high school student learns in Civics class that the Constitution of the United States as amended with the Bill of Rights in 1791 is the Supreme Law of the Land. Instead of answering the direct question with a simple yes or no, John babbled about COVID, the Governor's Executive Orders, Constitutional interpretations determined by the courts, Grand Juries, and recalls, but he still avoided the question.

John should know that any act by any public official either supports and defends the constitution, or opposes and violates it. It is impossible for an oath taker to lawfully defy and oppose the authority of the documents to which he swore or affirmed his oath. Whenever constitutional violations are committed by public officers, there are constitutional remedies available to the people.

As far as proof that a candidate has violated his oath of office, a legal maxim is that an unrebutted affidavit serves as the truth in law and before any court in America. Notification of legal responsibility is "the first essential of due process of law." For example Sheriff D'Agostini, Don Ashton, Brian Veerkamp, Shiva Frentzen, Sue Novasel, Kris Payne, Assemblyman Frank Bigelow, and others have received such notarized affidavits stating the charges against them. If government were to protect and defend their unconstitutional actions, then that government becomes complicit in those actions, condones, aids and abets them.

Furthermore, if John Hidahl couldn't respond honestly to a very simple question pertaining to his oaths of office, then it is reasonable to presume that he has no intention of honoring his sacred oath to support and defend the Constitution. In other words, John Hidahl has no business even running for office again. Shame on you, John, for your deceptive tactics!!!

Just to be perfectly clear, Andy Nevis is a state employee aspiring to run for public office. Andy had <u>no lawful authority</u> to discriminate, censor, and deprive me the right to ask *any* public official a question pertaining to their candidacy. He just affirmed how deeply ingrained the corruption is in El Dorado County and in the Taxpayers Association. Their Marxist despotism is the antithesis of liberty and freedom loving Americans.

In closing, it was Ayn Rand who said, "There is no difference between socialism and communism, except in the means of achieving the same ultimate end: communism proposes to enslave men by force, socialism by vote. It is merely the difference between murder and suicide."

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that enough good men do nothing." \sim Edmund Burke \sim