Edcgov.us Mail - 12/15/20 BOS Consent Item #1 - Minutes

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>



12/15/20 BOS Consent Item #1 - Minutes

1 message

Melody Lane <melody.lane@reagan.com>

Tue, Dec 15, 2020 at 9:46 AM

To: edc.cob@edcgov.us, Kim Dawson <Kim.Dawson@edcgov.us>, john.hidahl@edcgov.us

Cc: shiva.frentzen@edcgov.us, sue.novasel@edcgov.us, lori.parlin@edcgov.us, Donald Ashton <don.ashton@edcgov.us>, bosfive@edcgov.us, bosfour <bostour@edcgov.us>, bosone@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us

Please ensure the entirety of this correspondence is entered into the public record under 12/15/20 BOS Consent Item #1.

###

For the record, John Hidahl refused to respond to a valid public inquiry last week, hence he violated of the Brown Act, his oaths of office, as well as all the below referenced law:

This is relevant to the November 16th Taxpayers Association meeting when Sheriff D'Agostini was the speaker. Supervisors John Hidahl and Lori Parlin participated in that meeting via Zoom. Your explanation is in order as to why the photos I submitted with my public comments during the December 2nd Open Forum have been unlawfully altered. The below color photos that I submitted have been altered/redacted via high-contrast black and white. This is information the public has a right to know, and it is an egregious violation of the Brown Act.

Reference: United States v. Dial, 757 R2d 163, 168 (ih Cir 1985) includes the deliberate concealment of material information in a setting of fiduciary obligation. Any enterprise undertaken by the public official who tends to weaken public confidence and undermine the sense of security for individual rights is against public policy. See also: USC Title 18,.§ 2071-Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally,. See also: Morrison v. Coddington, 662 P.2d. 155, 135 Ariz. 480 (1983) -Fraud and deceit may arise from silence where there is a duty to speak the truth, as well as from speaking an untruth. [Emphasis added]

Who is responsible for authorizing this unlawful violation of the Brown Act and my First Amendment rights?? Your explanation is also in order as to why the 12/2/20 BOS meeting video has not yet been posted to the government calendar.

John Hidahl responded to Ron & Carol as well as Kris Payne concerning coverage in Brian Veerkamp's district, but he would not respond to me, claiming this is not a back-and-forth the Brown Act is very clear. "THE PEOPLE OF THIS STATE DO NOT YIELD THEIR SOVEREIGNTY TO THE AGENCIES WHICH SERVE THEM. THE PEOPLE, IN DELEGATING AUTHORITY, DO NOT GIVE THEIR PUBLIC SERVANTS THE RIGHT TO DECIDE WHAT IS GOOD FOR THE PEOPLE TO KNOW AND WHAT IS NOT GOOD FOR THEM TO KNOW. THE PEOPLE INSIST THEY MAY RETAIN CONTROL OVER THE INSTRUMENTS THEY HAVE CREATED."

John, during your invocation last week you prayed for Godly wisdom. You are reminded that you are a public SERVANT with a moral, ethical and legal responsibility to respond to constituents. The public had a right to know the answer to my public inquiry, but you did not demonstrate wisdom. That means you do not get to discriminate or decide what is good for the people to know or what is not good for them to know. If you did not know the answer to my inquiry, then you had an obligation to direct staff to look into the problem. Again, there were problems with the COB receiving the documents I submitted 4 times under Open Forum concerning the Affidavit of Truth addressed to Andy Nevis. So again, my inquiry is what is going on with IT?

FOR THE RECORD, JOHN HIDAHL AGAIN VIOLATED THE LAW AND HIS OATH OF OFFICE BY REFUSING TO APPROPRIATELY RESPOND TO A PUBLIC INQUIRY CONCERNING GOVERNMENT TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY.

Melody Lane

Founder - Compass2Truth

"Resistance to tyranny becomes the Christian and social duty of each individual...Continue steadfast and, with a proper sense of your dependence on God, nobly defend those rights which heaven gave, and no man ought to take from us." ~ John Hancock ~