Edcgov.us Mail - Cameron Woods - General Plan Amendment



County of El Dorado Clerk of the Board <edc.çob@edcgov.us>

305 RUD 3/8/21

Cameron Woods - General Plan Amendment

1 message

Tami Hunt <tkhunt4@yahoo.com>
To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Fri, Mar 5, 2021 at 5:21 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Tami Hunt and I have lived at 2528 Almeria Drive in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since October 2004. I chose Cameron Woods because of its rural aspects, safety and opportunity for property appreciation.

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to Single Family Residential: **083-465-027** and **083-465-028** (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number **083-466-009** that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed. I urge you to make the above parcel changes.

Respectfully,

Tami Hunt



General Plan Amendment

1 message

james browne

brownej37@yahoo.com>

To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Sat, Mar 6, 2021 at 2:34 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is James E. Browne and I have lived in the Cameron Woods neighbor hood since July of 2010. I have been a Cameron Park resident since 2005 and a resident of El Dorado County since 1979. I am retired from the El Dorado Sheriff's Office where I worked for almost thirty years.

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) in addition to parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have before you, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed. I and all the people who live in our neighborhood have great pride in ownership and feel fortunate to live in this area and our county. Thank you for your action on this matter.

Sincerely.

James E. Browne



Cameron Woods

1 message

Sandy Browne <sbrowne371@yahoo.com>
To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Sat, Mar 6, 2021 at 3:16 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I have lived in the Cameron Woods subdivision for the last 11 years and was very disappointed to learn that some of our parcels had been changed without our knowledge. I worked for the El Dorado High School District for 25 years and am now retired.

I am voicing my support for General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential:

083-465-027, 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance # 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting

Also parcel number 083-466-009 was changed to multi-family in 2015.

The development of another multi-family dwelling at the bottom of Mira Loma Drive would create a serious safety hazard in the event of any emergency. Our subdivision only has two entrances that would become severely compromised if we were ordered t evacuate.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Sandra J. Browne 2024 Riesling Way Cameron Park, Ca 95682



Board OF Supervisors Meeting March 9, 2021

1 message

Linda Riley < lriley@lrileyc21.com> To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Sat, Mar 6, 2021 at 11:02 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Linda Riley and I have lived in Cameron Woods since 1989. I am living in my 2nd home in this wonderful subdivision. I have been a Realtor in El Dorado County for 40 years and can tell you that Cameron Woods is the most desired and beautifully planned subdivision in Cameron Park. Home sales over the last couple of years in Cameron Woods have been in the \$600,000 to \$850,000 range. I represented Darol Rasmussen as his Realtor for the Cameron Woods Development. He took a lot pride in this development and would be very upset about the possibility of a 3 story, 46-unit apartment building in Cameron Woods. It would be such a disappointment to our community if this apartment complex were approved and allowed to move forward. I believe property values would be greatly affected and encourage you to support the 188 homeowners that live in Cameron Woods.

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based in the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number, 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is approved and allowed to continue after the board has seen the evidence provided to you, I feel, along with my neighbors that the county will be negligent, and our community will be greatly harmed.

Thank you,

Linda Riley



4601 Post Street

El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

(530) 409-3761 Cell

(530) 350-4426 Fax

Lriley@LrileyC21.com

www.CallLindaRiley

DRE#00825891

"Oh by the way, I'm never too busy for your referrals"

I do NOT send wiring instructions for real estate transactions via email. Please contact your title company for wiring instructions. Please do not convey your financial information via email to me. Contact me via telephone regarding any suspicious or inconsistent communications you receive from my email.

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.



(no subject)

1 message

straightsolitude@aol.com <straightsolitude@aol.com> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 9:35 AM

Dear board of Supervisors,

My name is Chantal Collins my husband and I have lived in Cameron Woods for the last 15 years!! We strongly oppose to having an apartment complex build in this neighborhood as it would create a massive traffic issue!! We can barely get on Cameron Park Dr. now without a traffic light there! Please return that parcel back to a single family residential!! Sincerely,

Joe L. and Chantal Collins



Mira Loma Apartment Complex

1 message

Ken Thompson < ktwalker@aol.com> Reply-To: Ken Thompson ktwalker@aol.com To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 10:15 AM

Dear Board of Supervisors.

Our names are Ken Thompson and LaNell Thompson and we have lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since 2018. We are both retired and moved to this area to escape the over developed and high density of our previous home's location. We spent months searching and then deciding to purchase our "forever home" here in the Cameron Woods development. We have personally experienced the negative impacts of large apartment complexes placed in residential locations designed for single family homes.

We support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If the development is allowed to continue, with the evidence you possess, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

Ken and LaNell Thompson



Correct the zoning errors in Cameron Woods parcels

1 message

Ronelle D Swanson <rdswanson@sbcglobal.net> To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 12:00 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

Ronelle Swanson



Correcting the zoning errors in Cameron Woods parcels

1 message

Julie Bargones <juliebargones@comcast.net> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 3:54 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

Julie Bargones



Cameron Woods

1 message

Randy Genovese <randy.genovese@gmail.com> To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 5:45 PM

Board of Supervisors,

My name is Randall Genovese and I have lived in Cameron woods for 23 years. In this time the neighborhood has grown, Rasmussen park has been updated and I have chosen to continue living here to and to raise my three children.

I support the General Plan Amendment to change parcels 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 to single family residential, (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Thank you,

Randy Genovese



Mira Loma Apartment Complex Zoning General Plan Amendment

1 message

Robert Springer

springer@sbcglobal.net> To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Cc: Bob Zsazsa <bgspringer@sbcglobal.net>

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 6:40 PM

To the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors;

My name is Robert Springer, I reside at 3629 Mira Loma Dr in Cameron Park, My wife Gizelle and I were both born and raised in Placerville and El Dorado respectively. With many friends and relatives in the area, over the years we spent a lot of time and attended and supported many events in the area. After many years living in the Bay Area we returned to El Dorado County in early 2020.

We support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential status: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083i-466-009, which was changed to multi-family status in 2015.

Our reasons for being against another multi family complex in the neighborhood are numerous; however the one which concerns us the most is the traffic congestion this complex will bring. Mira Loma Dr in the area of these parcels is hilly, curvy, and narrow. The intersection of Mira Loma and Cameron Park Dr may have been designed well for traffic conditions when first developed however it is currently dangerous to turn from Northbound Cameron Park Dr onto Mira Loma Dr. The intersection is so narrow vehicles need to slow way below the posted speed limit to complete the turn safely. Impatient drivers following often swerve into the oncoming Southbound traffic lane to pass the turning vehicle ahead of them. With the additional traffic which comes with multi-family complexes, it will only be a matter of time before a major injury head on collision or broadside collision will occur

If the Board of Supervisors or the Planning Commission is not knowledgeable in this particular intersection, I would encourage a first hand inspection or at least a traffic survey be conducted in this area if the current General Plan is not amended.

Respectfully;

Bob and Gizelle Springer



Support a General Plan Amendment

1 message

Debra Frizzell <dgfrizzell@gmail.com>

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 7:24 PM

To: edc.cob@edcgov.us Cc: Debra Frizzell <dgfrizzell@gmail.com>

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Debbie Frizzell and I have lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since 2010. I enjoy living in this neighboorhood, and work at Marshall Medical Center as a nurse.

I support a General Plan amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083*465-027 083-465-028

(based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors' meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely, Debbie Frizzell



Support a General Plan Amendment

1 message

Travelerz2 <travelerz2@aol.com> Reply-To: Travelerz2 <travelerz2@aol.com> To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 7:30 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Don Zwahlen and I have lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since 2017. I am retired and I enjoy living in this neighboorhood.

I support a General Plan amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083*465-027 083-465-028

(based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors' meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely, Donald Zwahlen



Cameron Woods development

1 message

Mark Frizzell <friz@pacbell.net> To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 5:57 AM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Mark Frizzell and I have lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since 2010. I enjoy living in this neighborhood, and work and work as a private consulting arborist in El Dorado County. I have lived in the county since 1976.

I support a General Plan amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential:

083*465-027, 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors' meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

Mark Frizzell International Society of Arboriculture -Certified Arborist WE-0210-AU -Utility Specialist -Qualified Tree Risk Assessor 530 391-7799



Fwd: THERE IS A REMEDY - Multi-Family Housing

1 message

Dyana Anderly danderly@comcast.net To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Sun, Mar 7, 2021 at 1:15 PM

I am sending this to you as I did because I used two different email addresses for you, which came back. Those email addresses were within the County website!

Dyana Anderly 3484 Chasen Drive Cameron Park, Ca 95682 (510) 913-0698 - cell

----- Original Message -----

From: Dyana Anderly danderly@comcast.net

To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, Kitty <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us" <boshive@edcgov.us>, "tiffany.schmid@edcgov.us" <tiffany.schmid@edcgov.us>, "dawson@edcgov.us"

<dawson@edcgov.us> Date: 03/07/2021 12:57 PM

Subject: THERE IS A REMEDY - Multi-Family Housing

Board of Supervisors Clerk of the Board Planning Commissioners Planning Department Head

Subject: UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF FACILITATING MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT CAN BE REMEDIED

Brief Background

Good Intention: In order to encourage multi-family development in El Dorado County, a Zoning Ordinance amendment removed all discretionary approval and sent multi-family developers straight to the Building Department for building permits.

Unintended Consequences:

- Inconsistent with CEQA No CEQA review is required for building permit review. For example, high traffic generating multi-family projects can be built with no requirement to remedy associated impacts, no review of consistency with the General Plan, no review of visual impacts on surrounding area, apartments and condos can be built next to the freeway, which could have health and safety impacts, etc.
- No notice to neighbors. Neighbors have a stake in the project as it affects their quality of life and their neighborhoods. They likely will not know about the project until construction begins, they will believe they have been undermined, and you will be blamed.

- · Consistency with General Plan not a required finding for approval of medium-density apartments and condominiums, specifically, not required to meet General Plan. Policy 2.2.5.21 Development projects shall be located and designed in a manner that avoids incompatibility with adjoining land uses that are permitted by the policies in effect at the time the development project is proposed. Development projects that are potentially incompatible with existing adjoining uses shall be designed in a manner that avoids any incompatibility or shall be located on a different site.
- With no design requirements in place, unfortunate looking projects can be developed in ways that do not contribute to quality of life of the future residents of the project or the County as a whole.
- · Building placement and height can unnecessarily have adverse impacts on neighborhoods and residents of the project, e.g., 3-story buildings can be built next to one-story residences.
- Project design can be uncomplimentary and even offensive to surrounding development.
- Any building material that meets the Uniform Building Code can be used, so an entire project may be constructed with low-cost, unsightly siding and sparse trim.
- Buildings can be boxy with no off-sets.
- · All parking can be in front of the project, creating an unattractive streetscape and causing residents to walk great distances to their living quarters.
- No dedicated open space would be required for projects with children.

Remedy: Require discretionary review and streamline the review process significantly. Project reviewers should not just try to work faster using the same process. THE DISCRETIONARY PROCESS NEEDS TO CHANGE AND DESIGN REQUIREMENTS MUST BE PUT IN PLACE.

Some straightforward changes might include:

- Require site plan review for multi-family development on land zoned for same, a discretionary action.
- Avoid hearings. When all requirements are met for multi-family projects and where no objections raised by neighboring residents or other agencies that have not been remedied, allow approval of the project by the Planning Director. Include an appeal period. This method also serves as an incentive to developers to meet and requirements, including design requirements.
- Encourage developers to attend pre-application meetings. Pre-application meetings should be available once a week at the same time and place. These meetings must be attended by County staff member from every department involved in the process. There should be no justification for staff not attending this meeting, and this involves coordination with various departments. Issues may be raised at this meeting which provide the developer with corrections or amendments to the project

that should be made before submittal. The onus is then on the developer to make alterations to plans before presenting them for formal review and approval.

- At the time that the developer presents an application for formal submittal, a qualified planner should provide a cursory review of the project for issues that do not meet requirements (such as inadequate parking and not meeting setback requirements). In this manner, the applicant can make changes before submittal. To be accepted, the project submittal must include all required information, including landscaping, grading, lighting, signs, etc. This makes the developer responsible for enabling the County to process the application expeditiously. This reduces "finger-pointing" at the County for delays, which may be due to chasing down plans and information that was lacking when the project was submitted.
- Take pro-active public notice procedures. Within a week of the acceptance of the project for review, send notices to surrounding neighbors, homeowner associations, and others who may be affected by the project. This mailing should be on a colored postcard which include pertinent project information and a picture. This procedure can bring to light suggestions or objections by neighbors before the project gets farther along. Objections are known right away and addressed before getting too far into the review process. Objections would also signal that the application should be heard by the Planning Commission rather than approved by the Planning Director.
- A Planning Department staff meeting should be held weekly at the same time and place and attended by all. This way the entire staff is engaged with the happenings of the Planning Department and of each project in the loop. New projects should be presented at the meeting by the planner assigned to process it. Comments and suggestions can then be made by the other staff members at the meeting, which can be particularly useful. Clerical staff, typically the most under-utilized Planning Department staff members in this part of the process, should be included. They have interesting insights to offer.
- Create design requirements for multi-family development. The Cameron Park Design Review Committee can provide these requirements, with no cost to the County. Qualified architects, a city planner an environmental specialist, and members of the public have already contributed to this effort.

I have been a practicing city planner for over 30 years. I am a member of the American Institute of Certified Planners, and I have a Master's degree in planning from the University of Southern California. I headed a major Bay Area city planning department and was responsible for establishing streamlining measures and design criteria for development. As a consultant, I have also been at "the other side of the counter," making me fully aware that time for project review represents anxiety and money.

Regards,

Dyana Anderly, AICP 3484 Chasen Drive Cameron Park, Ca 95682 (510) 913-0698 - cell danderly@comcast.net

Board of Supervisors Clerk of the Board Planning Commissioners Planning Department Head

Subject: UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF FACILITATING MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT *CAN BE REMEDIED*

Brief Background

<u>Good Intention</u>: In order to encourage multi-family development in El Dorado County, a Zoning Ordinance amendment removed all discretionary approval and sent multi-family developers straight to the Building Department for building permits.

Unintended Consequences:

- <u>Inconsistent with CEQA</u> No CEQA review is required for building permit review. For example, high traffic generating multi-family projects can be built with no requirement to remedy associated impacts, no review of consistency with the General Plan, no review of visual impacts on surrounding area, apartments and condos can be built next to the freeway, which could have health and safety impacts, etc.
- No notice to neighbors. Neighbors have a stake in the project as it affects their quality of life and their neighborhoods. They likely will not know about the project until construction begins, they will believe they have been undermined, and you will be blamed.
- Consistency with General Plan not a required finding for approval of medium-density apartments and condominiums, specifically, not required to meet General Plan. Policy 2.2.5.21 Development projects shall be located and designed in a manner that avoids incompatibility with adjoining land uses that are permitted by the policies in effect at the time the development project is proposed. Development projects that are potentially incompatible with existing adjoining uses shall be designed in a manner that avoids any incompatibility or shall be located on a different site.
- With no design requirements in place, unfortunate looking projects can be developed in ways that do not contribute to quality of life of the future residents of the project or the County as a whole.

- O Building placement and height can unnecessarily have adverse impacts on neighborhoods and residents of the project, e.g., 3-story buildings can be built next to one-story residences.
- Project design can be uncomplimentary and even offensive to surrounding development.
- Any building material that meets the Uniform Building Code can be used, so an entire project may be constructed with low-cost, unsightly siding and sparse trim.
- o Buildings can be boxy with no off-sets.
- All parking can be in front of the project, creating an unattractive streetscape and causing residents to walk great distances to their living quarters.
- No dedicated open space would be required for projects with children.

Remedy: Require discretionary review and streamline the review process significantly. Project reviewers should not just try to work faster using the same process. THE DISCRETIONARY PROCESS NEEDS TO CHANGE AND DESIGN REQUIREMENTS MUST BE PUT IN PLACE.

Some straightforward changes might include:

- Require site plan review for multi-family development on land zoned for same, a discretionary action.
- O Avoid hearings. When all requirements are met for multi-family projects and where no objections raised by neighboring residents or other agencies that have not been remedied, allow approval of the project by the Planning Director. Include an appeal period. This method also serves as an incentive to developers to meet and requirements, including design requirements.
- Encourage developers to attend pre-application meetings. Pre-application meetings should be available once a week at the same time and place. These meetings must be attended by County staff member from every department involved in the process. There should be no justification for staff not attending this meeting, and this involves

coordination with various departments. Issues may be raised at this meeting which provide the developer with corrections or amendments to the project that should be made before submittal. The onus is then on the developer to make alterations to plans before presenting them for formal review and approval.

- O At the time that the developer presents an application for formal submittal, a qualified planner should provide a cursory review of the project for issues that do not meet requirements (such as inadequate parking and not meeting setback requirements). In this manner, the applicant can make changes before submittal. To be accepted, the project submittal must include all required information, including landscaping, grading, lighting, signs, etc. This makes the developer responsible for enabling the County to process the application expeditiously. This reduces "finger-pointing" at the County for delays, which may be due to chasing down plans and information that was lacking when the project was submitted.
- O Take pro-active public notice procedures. Within a week of the acceptance of the project for review, send notices to surrounding neighbors, homeowner associations, and others who may be affected by the project. This mailing should be on a colored postcard which include pertinent project information and a picture. This procedure can bring to light suggestions or objections by neighbors before the project gets farther along. Objections are known right away and addressed before getting too far into the review process. Objections would also signal that the application should be heard by the Planning Commission rather than approved by the Planning Director.
- A Planning Department staff meeting should be held weekly at the same time and place and attended by all. This way the entire staff is engaged with the happenings of the Planning Department and of each project in the loop. New projects should be presented at the meeting by the planner assigned to process it. Comments and suggestions can then be made by the other staff members at the meeting, which can be particularly useful. Clerical staff, typically the most under-utilized Planning Department staff members in this part of the process, should be included. They have interesting insights to offer.
- Create design requirements for multi-family development. The Cameron Park Design Review Committee can provide these requirements, with no cost to the County. Qualified architects, a city planner an environmental specialist, and members of the public have already contributed to this effort.

I have been a practicing city planner for over 30 years. I am a member of the American Institute of Certified Planners, and I have a Master's degree in planning from the University of Southern California. I headed a major Bay Area city planning department and was responsible for

establishing streamlining measures and design criteria for development. As a consultant, I have also been at "the other side of the counter," making me fully aware that time for project review represents anxiety and money.

Regards,

Dyana Anderly, AICP.



Cameron Woods apartments issue

1 message

Irv Weissbart <irvnlynn@sbcglobal.net>
To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 9:11 AM

Good morning ladies and gentlemen

It is our hope that the board of supervisors in regards to any building of proposed Cameron Woods apartments will continue to approach the situation in a logical manner that will NOT allow a poor idea to come to fruition and that will come to correct an obvious error an error that would allow a large apartment complex III-suited for that location. It would create a massive disruption to the people of the Cameron Woods neighborhood. Having lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood for over 24 years we would hope that ordinance number 4391 approved in 1995 will guide you.

Thank you for your time

Irv and Lynn Weissbart



single family Residency

1 message

tim Carr <timwcarr@att.net> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 4:50 AM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

Tim Carr Cameron Park resident March 4, 2021

Board Clerk's Office 330 Fair Lane, Building A Placerville, CA 95667

ATT: Board of Supervisors

Re: Mira Loma Apartment Complex

ARR 8 2021 AVIOUS

Dear Board of Supervisors:

My Name is Bart E. Wood and I have lived in Cameron Woods neighbor since 2005. My wife I moved away from South Sacramento and had our home built at 118 Riesling Court in Cameron Woods Estate. At the time, we were the only home on the circle. We made the move to raise our children in a better environment. I am an owner of a Construction Company "Bayview Engineering and Construction" that specializes in Power and Food, where my office is located in El Dorado Hill, CA. I employ over 60 employees, which over 30 of the employees are from our county.

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel number to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multifamily in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me a your earliest convince @ the numbers listed below.

Sincerely 西art 主。Wood

Principal / Home Owner of Cameron Woods Bayview Engineering and Construction

Phone: (916)-939-8986 Cell: (916)-806-1111

E-mail: bwood@bayviewecci.com

3-2-21

Board of Lugarvisors

We are alfred and Mary Fereria

We bought our home to Camuon Woods
in 2005

We moved here from Bay area and we fell in love with the area. What impressed us the most was the lack of ears on the streets. They were in the garages

We were not informed about possible lots being pole for multi family residences. We were only informed about the small airport We puppert a General Plan amendment to Change parcel number .083-465-027 and 083-465,-028 to single family unit which was approved during 1995 Board of Supernova meeting as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to Multi family in 2015

We took a phence on Cameron Park in 2005. As senior citizens al-85 yrads Mary 81 yra old. We expect the right diession to be made here.

> Sincorely and Thenk You alfred + Mary Ferina 3814 Mira Froma Dr Cameron Park, Pa 95682



Mira Loma Apartment Complex

1 message

LISA PINSKI < lski3651@att.net> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us Cc: Lisa Pinski < lski3651@att.net> Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 10:21 AM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Lisa Pinski and I have live in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since 2002. I raised my girls in this home.

I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to a single family residence: 083-365-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting). Also parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to a multi-family residence in 2015, I support a General Plan Amendment to change this parcel to a single family residence.

If this development is allowed to take place, this will have the community of Cameron Woods harmed. With the evidence you have, I hope you all can see the negative impact this development will cause.

Sincerely, Lisa Pinski Sent from my iPhone



Support of the General Plan amendment / Opposition to the Cameron Woods apartment complex

1 message

Jacqueline Tarry <tarryj@me.com>

Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 10:51 AM

To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Cc: Vince Tarry <vince@iclickstudios.com>

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Our names are Vince & Jacqueline Tarry and we have lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since August of 2018. We own and operate a photography studio (iClick Studios) in Shingle Springs.

We support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as a parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

Vince & Jacqueline Tarry

Sent from my iPhone



Public Comment

Cameron Woods zoning errors

1 message

Molly Wright <mollywright63@gmail.com> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 1:09 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Our names are Stan and Molly Wright and we have lived in Cameron Woods since 2014.

We would like to thank you for your public service to your community. Supervisor Parlin we know you led efforts to stop development of high-density projects in Shingle Springs, advocated for keeping land zoning of one acre parcels in Shingle Springs and supported other communities to stop incompatible development projects in effort to maintain the unique characteristics of their neighborhoods.

It is for these reasons we ask that you represent your constituents in the Cameron Woods neighborhood in opposing the efforts to develop more apartments in our neighborhood. In our neighborhood, land and existing homesites with homes in place have been rezoned without notification to those homeowners or to our community. We understand a developer has sought to develop apartments on the empty land and has also offered to buy the adjacent rezoned homes and replace them with high density projects.

We know you can understand the distress this has created in our community. Traffic through our community is busy due to the local park and often there are long lines of cars to exit our community onto Cameron Park Drive. High density housing would make this worse and more hazardous for the children that play, and the elderly and families that walk and bike in our community. Homeowners are also concerned about the negative financial impact high density housing will have on our home values.

Please help us maintain the unique peaceful character, safety and pleasant lifestyle that we presently enjoy in the Cameron Woods area of Cameron Park.

Respectfully, Stan and Molly Wright



Mira Loma Proposed Apartment Complex

1 message

AL STEINMETZ <arcoal@sbcglobal.net>
To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 1:43 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Allen Steinmetz, and we have lived in the Cameron Woods area since August of 2003. When we first relocated

to this area we were aware that the already existing apartments were there, however I and many of my neighbors feel that this proposed development will have a severe and significant impact on not only the already congested traffic conditions on Mira Loma as well as making access to the subdivision a daily struggle. The majority of our community is opposed to this re sectioning and proposed development since the very moment the residents have been made aware.

We support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to a single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 ordinance number 4391, approved in the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family, in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue, and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

- Allen and Angela Steinmetz



9 2021, Board of Supervisors Meeting on March

1 message

iudy zabokrtsky <iudyzabo@gmail.com> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 2:08 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors.

My Name is Judy Zabokrtsky and I have lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since 1989. I worked in Sacramento for 25 years and 15 years in El Dorado County. I have owned 2 homes in Cameron Woods. I support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

I have to ask if any of you have actually seen the property and how it is located with single family homes around two sides and a very large gulch bordered by a road on the other sides. I think water run-off and access being at the top of a hill on a curve could be a serious problem.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the County will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Thank You for your thoughtful consideration' Sincerely, Judy Zabokrtsky



Mira Loma Apartment Complex

1 message

Kurt Jaehn <kurtjaehn@gmail.com> To: edc.cob@edcgov.us Mon. Mar 8, 2021 at 2:57 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Our names are Kurt and Kelly Jaehn, and we have lived in the Cameron Woods neighborhood since 2012. We have lived in Cameron Park for 32 years now and moved here because of the rural setting and the minimal congestion that this area provides. We are now semi-retired, and we really enjoy living in El Dorado County.

We support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 (based on the 1995 Ordinance Number 4391, approved during the 1995 Board of Supervisors meeting) as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

If this development is allowed to continue and with the evidence you have, the county will be negligent and our community will be harmed.

Sincerely,

Kurt and Kelly Jaehn 2066 Riesling Way, Cameron Park, CA 95682



Mira Loma Apartment Complex

1 message

lindacac@aol.com <lindacac@aol.com> Reply-To: lindacac@aol.com To: "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 3:50 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Linda Cachopo and my husband and I have lived in Cameron Woods for 5 years. We purchased one of the remaining lots and had our dream forever home built. We retired from the rat race of Silicon Valley to enjoy the peacefulness and slower paced life Cameron Park, especially, Cameron Woods.

We were devastated to hear that another apartment complex would potentially be coming into our neighborhood. We did not sign up for that.

We support a General Plan Amendment to change the following parcel numbers to single family residential: 083-465-027 and 083-465-028 as well as parcel number 083-466-009 that was changed to multi-family in 2015.

Providing the evidence you have, the county will be negligent if this development is allowed to continue, questioning our community's future.

Sincerely,

Linda Cachopo