ic Commew

DSPCVD



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Who PCR

Kim Dawson <a href="mailto:kim.dawson@edcgov.us">kim.dawson@edcgov.us</a> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Wed, Feb 10, 2021 at 1:49 PM

Can you please send this to all the BOS emails. It was sent directly to a couple of Supervisors but I want to stay consistent. Also, include with the public comment for the COVID item. Thanks, Kim

----- Forwarded message -----

From: keeley link <keeley.link@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Feb 10, 2021 at 1:46 PM Subject: Re: Who PCR

To: Sue Novaser <bostive@edcgov.us>, Nancy Williams <nancy.williams@edcgov.us>, Michael Ungeheuer <michael.ungeheuer@edcgov.us>, Lynnan Svensson <lynnan.svensson@edcgov.us>, Lori Parlin <bosfour@edcgov.us>, <iohn.dagostini@edso.org>, Greg Stanton <greg.stanton@edcgov.us>, George Turnboo 2 <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Don Semon <don.semon@edcgov.us>, Don Ashton <don.ashton@edcgov.us>, David Livingston <david.livingston@edcgov.us>, Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Todd White <toddwhite2006@hotmail.com> Cc: Brian Dahle <senator.dahle@senate.ca.gov>, Frank Bigelow <assemblymember.bigelow@assembly.ca.gov>, Gallagher <assemblymember.gallagher@assembly.ca.gov>, Kevin Kiley <assemblymember.kiley@assembly.ca.gov>, Tom McClintock <kimberly.pruet@mail.house.gov>, <freedomangels2.0@protonmail.com>, Justin Taylor <foothill7tv@gmail.com>, <hangtownnews@gmail.com>, Krysten Kellum <photo@mtdemocrat.net>

Nancy Williams and Board of Supervisors,

I have still not received a response from Nancy Williams to my email 1/21/21 regarding the new WHO guidance for PCR testing. Nor was she present in the last two BOS meetings. It would be appreciated if she were present to explain how this change is affecting our current case numbers or at least respond via email. The positivity rate has dropped from 13.5% on 1/21/21 to 4.3% on 2/9/21 since the new guidance came out. Could that possibly mean that nearly 2/3 of the people that tested positive using the previous guidance were quarantined unnecessarily?

Also during my comment at the Board of Supervisors meeting yesterday I explained how I came across the information about the recalled Moderna Lot and the Death in El Dorado County. The most troubling part is that I participated in the last two Covid Updates done by our County where the focus was mainly on the vaccine and neither of these occurrences were even mentioned. This creates a lot of mistrust and lack of confidence, leaving me to wonder what other critical information is being withheld from the public.

--https://www.who.int/news/item/20-01-2021-who-information-notice-for-ivd-users-2020-05?fbclid= IwAR2FnuSkIIn9qtxve9EEEK9ht5WVw-QzWyeRUJJ203q0II4YBJecbJRylrA

On Thu, Jan 21, 2021 at 2:03 PM keeley link <keeley.link@gmail.com> wrote:

https://www.who.int/news/item/20-01-2021-who-information-notice-for-ivd-users-2020-05?fbclid= lwAR2FnuSklIn9qtxve9EEEK9ht5WVw-QzWyeRUJJ203q0II4YBJecbJRylrA

Nancy Williams and Supervisors,

Below is an excerpt of the WHO new guidance for PCR testing and confirmation of Covid Cases (see link to WHO Guidance above).

Myself and many others have expressed concern over false positives for months now. We have been dismissed and ignored. Now the WHO is acknowledging the need for new guidance as the previous methods caused many false positives. There isn't any representation of the percentage of cases that may have resulted from the current practices. This has been a very frustrating situation for the public as we have been guarantined, had businesses shut down, schools closed and many other negative restrictions imposed on our lives. All we have asked for is transparency on the CT (cycle threshold) of the PCR tests being done. This information has been and continues to be held from the public, this is unacceptable and we expect immediate action to provide this transparency. Please let me know how the county

plans address this issue.

Description of the problem: WHO requests users to follow the instructions for use (IFU) when interpreting results for specimens tested using PCR methodology.

Users of IVDs must read and follow the IFU carefully to determine if manual adjustment of the PCR positivity threshold is recommended by the manufacturer.

WHO guidance Diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 states that careful interpretation of weak positive results is needed (1). The cycle threshold (Ct) needed to detect virus is inversely proportional to the patient's viral load. Where test results do not correspond with the clinical presentation, a new specimen should be taken and retested using the same or different NAT technology.

WHO reminds IVD users that disease prevalence alters the predictive value of test results; as disease prevalence decreases, the risk of false positive increases (2). This means that the probability that a person who has a positive result (SARS-CoV-2 detected) is truly infected with SARS-CoV-2 decreases as prevalence decreases, irrespective of the claimed specificity.

Most PCR assays are indicated as an aid for diagnosis, therefore, health care providers must consider any result in combination with timing of sampling, specimen type, assay specifics, clinical observations, patient history, confirmed status of any contacts, and epidemiological information.

Thank you, Keeley Link 916-599-5455

Thank you, Keeley Link 916-599-5455 Allison James Estates and Homes Lic# 02003906 [Quoted text hidden]





## Fwd: Who PCR

Kim Dawson <a href="mailto:kim.dawson@edcgov.us">kim.dawson@edcgov.us</a> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Wed, Feb 10, 2021 at 2:51 PM

Can you please send this to all the BOS emails. It was sent directly to a couple of Supervisors but I want to stay consistent, Also, include with the public comment for the COVID item, Thanks, Kim

---- Forwarded message -----

From: Laura Bradley < Ibradley 1964@yahoo.com>

Date: Wed, Feb 10, 2021 at 2:48 PM

Subject: Re: Who PCR

To: keeley link <keeley.link@gmail.com>

Cc: Sue Novaser <br/>
Sosfive@edcgov.us>, Nancy Williams nancy.williams@edcgov.us>, Michael Ungeheuer

<michael.ungeheuer@edcgov.us>, Lynnan Svensson <lynnan.svensson@edcgov.us>, Lori Parlin <boxfour@edcgov.us>,

Kim Dawson <a href="mailto:kim.dawson@edcgov.us">kim.dawson@edcgov.us</a>, John D'Agostini

<john.dagostini@edso.org>, Greg Stanton <greg.stanton@edcgov.us>, George Turnboo 2 <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Don

Semon <don.semon@edcgov.us>, Don Ashton <don.ashton@edcgov.us>, David Livingston

<david.livingston@edcgov.us>, Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Todd White <toddwhite2006@hotmail.com>,

Brian Dahle <senator.dahle@senate.ca.gov>, Frank Bigelow <assemblymember.bigelow@assembly.ca.gov>, Gallagher

<assemblymember.gallagher@assembly.ca.gov>, Kevin Kiley <assemblymember.kiley@assembly.ca.gov>, Tom McClintock <kimberly.pruet@mail.house.gov>, <freedomangels2.0@protonmail.com>, Justin Taylor

<foothill7tv@gmail.com>, <hangtownnews@gmail.com>, Krysten Kellum <photo@mtdemocrat.net>

I agree with Keeley. It is disturbing that our public health officer is notably absent in a pandemic, especially after being pressured to provide information that it vital to the public's understanding of what is happening. It is also disturbing that we are being encouraged to inject ourselves with an experimental biologic agent, without being informed of the reported potential side effects, including death, or the fact that this treatment is approved for emergency use only, which means the safety testing has been limited. Few are also aware that the manufacturers of these vaccines have been given zero liability for their products. Claims awarded for vaccine injuries are paid for by taxpayers! Shouldn't this be a big red flag?!

Vaccines are a very serious and personal health decision that should only be made between a doctor and a patient. The increased involvement of government is very concerning. We can only make good decisions for ourselves and our families when we are armed with ALL the information that is available. That is what we supposedly pay public health officials to do. Provide us with information. If Nancy is not willing to do that job and be responsive to the public at this critical time, she needs to step down immediately and make room for someone that will.

**Best Regards** Laura Bradley

On Feb 10, 2021, at 1:46 PM, keeley link <keeley.link@gmail.com> wrote:

Nancy Williams and Board of Supervisors,

I have still not received a response from Nancy Williams to my email 1/21/21 regarding the new WHO guidance for PCR testing. Nor was she present in the last two BOS meetings. It would be appreciated if she were present to explain how this change is affecting our current case numbers or at least respond via email. The positivity rate has dropped from 13.5% on 1/21/21 to 4.3% on 2/9/21 since the new guidance came out. Could that possibly mean that nearly 2/3 of the people that tested positive using the previous guidance were quarantined unnecessarily?

Also during my comment at the Board of Supervisors meeting yesterday I explained how I came across the information about the recalled Moderna Lot and the Death in El Dorado County. The most troubling part is that I participated in the last two Covid Updates done by our County where the focus was mainly on the

vaccine and neither of these occurrences were even mentioned. This creates a lot of mistrust and lack of confidence, leaving me to wonder what other critical information is being withheld from the public.

--https://www.who.int/news/item/20-01-2021-who-information-notice-for-ivd-users-2020-05?fbclid= IwAR2FnuSkIIn9qtxve9EEEK9ht5WVw-QzWyeRUJJ203q0II4YBJecbJRyIrA

On Thu, Jan 21, 2021 at 2:03 PM keeley link <keeley.link@gmail.com> wrote:

https://www.who.int/news/item/20-01-2021-who-information-notice-for-ivd-users-2020-05?fbclid= IwAR2FnuSklIn9qtxve9EEEK9ht5WVw-QzWyeRUJJ203q0II4YBJecbJRylrA

Nancy Williams and Supervisors,

Below is an excerpt of the WHO new guidance for PCR testing and confirmation of Covid Cases (see link to WHO Guidance above).

Myself and many others have expressed concern over false positives for months now. We have been dismissed and ignored. Now the WHO is acknowledging the need for new guidance as the previous methods caused many false positives. There isn't any representation of the percentage of cases that may have resulted from the current practices. This has been a very frustrating situation for the public as we have been guarantined, had businesses shut down, schools closed and many other negative restrictions imposed on our lives. All we have asked for is transparency on the CT (cycle threshold) of the PCR tests being done. This information has been and continues to be held from the public, this is unacceptable and we expect immediate action to provide this transparency. Please let me know how the county plans address this issue.

Description of the problem: WHO requests users to follow the instructions for use (IFU) when interpreting results for specimens tested using PCR methodology.

Users of IVDs must read and follow the IFU carefully to determine if manual adjustment of the PCR positivity threshold is recommended by the manufacturer.

WHO guidance Diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 states that careful interpretation of weak positive results is needed (1). The cycle threshold (Ct) needed to detect virus is inversely proportional to the patient's viral load. Where test results do not correspond with the clinical presentation, a new specimen should be taken and retested using the same or different NAT technology.

WHO reminds IVD users that disease prevalence alters the predictive value of test results; as disease prevalence decreases, the risk of false positive increases (2). This means that the probability that a person who has a positive result (SARS-CoV-2 detected) is truly infected with SARS-CoV-2 decreases as prevalence decreases. irrespective of the claimed specificity.

Most PCR assays are indicated as an aid for diagnosis, therefore, health care providers must consider any result in combination with timing of sampling, specimen type, assay specifics, clinical observations, patient history, confirmed status of any contacts, and epidemiological information.

Thank you, Keeley Link 916-599-5455

Thank you, Keeley Link 916-599-5455 Allison James Estates and Homes Lic# 02003906

Kim Dawson Clerk of the Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado 330 Fair Lane, Building A Placerville, CA 95667 (530) 621-5393 kim.dawson@edcgov.us

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s), except as otherwise permitted. Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration.

•			