



*public comment
rec for Kilzer*
Myrna Tow <myrna.tow@edcgov.us>

Re: Email address for public comment

Jill KUNDER <4sevens@hughes.net>

Wed, May 12, 2021 at 12:19 PM

To: Myrna Tow <myrna.tow@edcgov.us>

Cc: Carola <carola42@sbcglobal.net>, 4sevens <4sevens@hughes.net>

David and Jill Kunder
Parcel # 041-900-019-000
7777 Stephanie Lane
Somerset, CA 95684

In response to the meeting notification of the El Dorado County Agricultural Commission, we would like to raise a number of concerns for consideration of the Commercial Cannabis Use Permit for Assessor's Parcel # 0410900-003.

The proposed project on a 10 acre parcel in the middle of a residential property, in the middle of a small rural neighborhood of 14 homes seems highly unusual. Our small residential community is tight knit and has a number of families with small children. The residents share an easement road and volunteer to maintain said road.

We banded together and formed the Happy Valley Fire Safe Council and had a grant approved to put in an evacuation road. This effort was necessary because Happy Valley Road is a notoriously narrow, winding road with one way in and one way out access. This was for the safety and well being of our community and our neighborhood focus continues to be for the safety and well being of each other.

On behalf of a number of residents in our small community we are strongly against the issuance of CCUP20-0003/Kilzer Commercial Cannabis Cultivation

1. How does the applicant for CCUP20-0003/Kilzer propose that they will be the sole employee for a 6,000 square foot outdoor cultivation? How will one person, plant, cultivate, harvest, package and sell a 6,000 square foot commercial cannabis grow? What is the projected yield of the proposed 6,000 square foot commercial cannabis grow.

We do not believe it is realistic that one person can manage this proposed permit request or even a 3,000 square foot project.

The residents, Kunder, Schmerolz, Ramirez and Roach request this proposal in writing by the applicant.

2. We are concerned that this project does not meet set back requirements. How will that be addressed? We are concerned with the requirements for security, fencing, lighting and how that will adversely affect the aesthetics and safety of our neighborhood.

The residents, Kunder Schmerolz, Ramirez and Roach request this answer in writing.

3. How does the Supervisor of District II validate a CCUP in the middle of a residential neighborhood and what guarantees does our Supervisor give that this proposed commercial permit will not adversely affect the constituents of District II, specifically the Stephanie Lane/Stephanie Court and Guardian Court community.

The residents Kunder, Schmerolz, Ramirez and Roach request this response in writing by our Supervisor.

4. If the cannabis is being cultivated and sold, what means of distribution and sale will the applicant use? The concern is additional vehicle traffic on Happy Valley Road and the easement road, Stephanie Lane and the long term impact of said traffic.

The residents Kunder, Schmerolz, Ramirez and Roach request this response in writing by the applicant.

From: Myrna <myrna.tow@edcgov.us>
To: 4sevens <4sevens@hughes.net>
Date: Tuesday, 11 May 2021 3:49 PM PDT
Subject: Email address for public comment

Here's my address for your comments for the Ag Commission meeting tomorrow night, thank you!

--
Myrna Tow
El Dorado County Department of Agriculture,
Weights and Measures
530-621-6647 Fax (530) 626-4756
myrna.tow@edcgov.us

WARNING: This email and any attachments may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments) by other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments.