

RESOLUTION NO. 062-2021

OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES ON BEHALF OF EL DORADO COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, AB 1600 was passed and codified in California Government Code Section 66000-66025 ("Mitigation Fee Act") allowing the establishment of a development impact fee as a condition of approval where the purpose and use of the fee are identified and a reasonable relationship to the development project can be demonstrated; and

WHEREAS, the County of El Dorado has adopted Ordinance No. 3991, codified in Chapter 13, Section 20 of the El Dorado County Code authorizing the imposition of fire district improvement fees on new development within the unincorporated area of the County in order to construct or purchase fire protection facilities and equipment necessary to mitigate the impacts of such development in a fire protection district; and

WHEREAS, the El Dorado County Fire Protection District ("District") has entered into an agreement required by County Code Section 13.20.030 providing for the District to defend and indemnify the County for any challenge related to the adoption, collection, or disbursement of fees on behalf of the District;

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has previously established fees within the boundaries of the District, for the purpose of funding the construction or purchase of fire protection facilities and equipment necessary to mitigate the impacts of such development in a fire protection District, as documented by Resolution #212-2016 approved on December 13, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the District has caused to be prepared a Fire Development Impact Fee Justification Study ("Report") for the purpose of establishing the legal and policy basis for increasing the development impact mitigation fee within the District and the County has reviewed the Report, which is incorporated herein and made by reference a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, on April 14, 2021, the District adopted Resolution No. 2021-01, approving the Report and requesting the Board of Supervisors adopt the impact fees proposed therein; and

WHEREAS, the Report was made available for public review 10 days prior to this public hearing and notice of this hearing was published in the Mountain Democrat on June 18, 2021, and June 25, 2021, in accordance with Section 66018 of the Mitigation Fee Act; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors finds as follows:

- A. The purpose of these fees is to finance public facilities and equipment to mitigate the impact of development on fire protection services within the District.
- B. The fees collected pursuant to this Resolution shall be used to finance the facilities and equipment as described, identified, or referenced in the attached Report, provided that any expenditure will be reimbursed only if the District submits adequate supporting information to show that there is a

reasonable relationship between the use of the fee and the type of development project for which the fee was imposed, including the percentage of the development project funded from the fee, and a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development for which the fee was imposed.

- C. Upon consideration of the Report and testimony received at this hearing, the Board approves the Report, incorporating such herein by reference, and further finds that new development within the boundaries of the District will generate an additional need for fire equipment and facilities and will contribute to the degradation of current services within the area.
- D. The facts and evidence presented in the study establish a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facilities and equipment and the impact of the development for which the fee is charged, and a corresponding relationship between the fee's use and the type of development for which the fee is charged, as these reasonable relationships are described in more detail in the Report.
- E. The cost estimates set forth in the Report are reasonable cost estimates for constructing these facilities or acquiring the equipment needed and the fees expected to be generated by new development will not exceed the total of these costs.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Supervisors hereby resolves and determines as follows:

- 1. New development shall mean original construction of residential, retail/commercial, industrial, agricultural, or warehouse/distribution improvement, or the addition of floor space to existing residential, retail/commercial, industrial, agricultural, or warehouse/distribution.
- 2. Effective sixty (60) days following adoption of this resolution, the following fees shall be charged upon issuance of any building permit and shall be paid to the County prior to the issuance of the building permit by all new residential development within the District.

Residential Development	Fee Per Living Area Sq. Ft.
Residential Single Family	\$1.03
Residential Multifamily	\$1.49
Residential Mobile Home	\$1.08

Per Building Sq. Ft.
\$0.87
\$1.19
\$0.83
\$0.53
\$0.69

1. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65852.2(f)(3)(A), a fee shall not be imposed upon the development of an Accessory Dwelling Unit less than 750 square feet or a Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit. Any impact fees charged for an accessory dwelling unit of 750 square feet or more shall be charged proportionately in relation to the square footage of the primary dwelling unit. An Accessory Dwelling Unit is an attached or a detached residential dwelling unit that provides complete independent living facilities for one or more persons and is located on a lot with a proposed or existing primary residence and includes permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel as the single-family or multifamily dwelling is or will be situated. An Accessory Dwelling

Unit also includes an efficiency unit as defined in Section 17958.1 of the Health and Safety Code and a manufactured home as defined in Section 18007 of the Health and Safety Code. A Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit is a residential unit that is no more than 500 square feet in size and contained entirely within a proposed or existing single-family structure and may include separate bathroom or share a bathroom with the existing structure.

- 2. Definitions for the land use classifications for Nonresdiential Development are provided in Table 2: Summary of Land Use Categories of the Report.
- 3. Fees collected on the reuse of an existing building shall be calculated based upon the current land use category less any previous fee paid to the District. The land owner shall be required to provide evidence of prior payment of the fee.
- 4. The fee established by the Resolution shall be collected and expended in compliance with the Mitigation Fee Act and El Dorado County Chapter 13.20 and, notwithstanding any examples provided in the Report, any expenditure will be reimbursed only if adequate supporting information is provided to show that there is a reasonable relationship between the use of the fee and the type of development project for which the fee was imposed, including the percentage of the development project funded from the fee, and a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development for which the fee was imposed.
- 5. Any judicial action or proceedings to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul this Resolution shall be brought forward within 120 days of adoption of the Resolution.
- 6. This Resolution supersedes Resolution #212-2016 approved on December 13, 2016.
- 7. Any adjustment or increase to the fees adopted herein, including any adjustment for inflation, must be requested by the District and shall comply with the Mitigation Fee Act. No automatic adjustment will occur.
- 8. All fees shall be paid to and maintained by County and disbursed to District only upon a request with sufficient supporting documentation as provided in this Resolution. Any credit or reimbursement will be determined by the County pursuant to El Dorado County Chapter 13.20.

	rvisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of the June, 20_21, by the following vote of said
Attest: Kim Dawson Clerk of the Board of Supervisors By: Deputy Clerk	Ayes: Noes: Hidahl, Parlin, Thomas, Turnboo, Novasel Absent: None None