

City Redistricting Alternative
County of El Dorado
State of California



District 4
Target Population: 38237
Assigned Population: 38179
Population Difference: -58
Percent Difference: -0.001517

District 3
Target Population: 38237
Assigned Population: 38096
Population Difference: -141
Percent Difference: -0.003688

District 1
Target Population: 38237
Assigned Population: 38463
Population Difference: 226
Percent Difference: 0.005911

District 2
Target Population: 38237
Assigned Population: 38042
Population Difference: -195
Percent Difference: -0.0051

District 5
Target Population: 38237
Assigned Population: 38405
Population Difference: 168
Percent Difference: 0.004394



0 1 2 4 Miles
MAP DISPLAYED IN STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM
(NAD 1983 CALIFORNIA ZONE 2, FEET)

- Legend
- District 1
 - District 2
 - District 3
 - District 4
 - District 5
 - Census Blocks
 - Supervisor Districts, 2010
 - Cities
 - Highways
 - Major Roads
 - Minor Roads
 - Lakes
 - Rivers

Alternative Description:
This alternative was derived by allocating census blocks starting on the west end of the county and moving east considering City boundaries as the priority. It also uses rural centers and public down communities of interest as secondary considerations. This alternative maintains the City of Placerville in District 3 and the City of South Lake Tahoe in District 5, and it takes into account the proposed El Dorado Hills City boundary from a 2002 proposal. It also keeps the El Dorado Hills business park within a single district, whereas it has been split between two districts in the past. Lastly, it keeps the Tahoe Basin and much of the El Dorado National Forest together.