

County of El Dorado

330 Fair Lane, Building A Placerville, California 530 621-5390 FAX 622-3645 www.edcgov.us/bos/

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 07-1987 **Version**: 1

Type: Agenda Item Status: Failed

File created: 12/17/2007 In control: Board Of Supervisors

On agenda: 1/8/2008 Final action: 1/8/2008

Title: Hearing to consider an appeal to Condition 22 (now Condition 20) imposed on Tentative Parcel Map

P06-0025 requiring the destruction of existing wells on APN 109-071-28 to create two parcels on a 2.92 acre site, consisting of 1.0 and 1.92 acres respectively in the Shingle Springs area. (District II)

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. P06-0025 Appeal Form.pdf, 2. P06-0025 ZA Conditions Findings.pdf, 3. P06-0025 Attachment

2.pdf, 4. P06-25 Staff Report.pdf, 5. P06-0025 Attachments.pdf, 6. email from G. Stanton rcvd 1-7-

08.pdf

| Date | Ver. | Action By | Action | Result |
|----------|------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| 1/8/2008 | 1 | Board Of Supervisors | Denied | Pass |

Hearing to consider an appeal to Condition 22 (now Condition 20) imposed on Tentative Parcel Map P06-0025 requiring the destruction of existing wells on APN 109-071-28 to create two parcels on a 2.92 acre site, consisting of 1.0 and 1.92 acres respectively in the Shingle Springs area. (District II) **RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Planning Services recommends the Board of Supervisors deny P06-0025A, thereby upholding the approval of P06-0025 by the Zoning Administrator, subject to the conditions and based on the findings listed on Attachment 1.

Background: Parcel Map P06-0025 was approved on November 21, 2007, by the Zoning Administrator to create two parcels. Because the project has a General Plan Land Use Designation of Medium Density Residential (MDR) and is located within the Shingle Springs Community Region, the project would be required to connect to EID public water to serve both parcels. The Department of Environmental Management required the applicant to destroy the on-site well as a condition of approval. The existing well does not meet current County standards for well construction. As stated in the letter prepared by Environmental Management, the on-site well could potentially contaminate the public water system. The project would connect to public water; therefore, the well is not needed as a water source.

Contact: Gregory L. Fuz (5445)/Lawrence W. Appel (7698)/Gina Hunter (3617)