

Legislation Text

File #: 18-0402, Version: 1

Vacation Home Rental Ad Hoc Committee recommending conceptual approval to amend the County Ordinance Code, Chapter 5.56 - Vacation Home Rentals in the Lake Tahoe Basin to revise sections regarding responsibility for permitting, inspection, compliance, and enforcement functions. (Est. Time: 30 Min.)

## FUNDING: N/A DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

Vacation Home Rental (VHR) Ad Hoc Committee recommending conceptual approval to amend the County Ordinance Code, Chapter 5.56 - Vacation Home Rentals in the Lake Tahoe Basin to revise sections regarding responsibility for permitting, inspection, compliance, and enforcement functions.

### DISCUSSION / BACKGROUND

The Chief Administrative Office has recommended making several changes to the Vacation Home Rental ordinance (Legistar file #18-0181). Two meetings of the full Board and one meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee have been scheduled in the Tahoe basin regarding the proposed revisions; however, the full Board has not had an opportunity to consider the recommendations due to the necessary cancellation of each meeting both times the Board attempted to meet. The Board Clerk is working to reschedule a full Board meeting in the Tahoe basin; however, the Ad Hoc Committee is recommending that the recommendation to review VHR functions for proper placement and staffing within the County organization be considered now and that the Board provide conceptual approval to proceed, so that staff may begin work on an implementation plan as soon as possible.

The Committee sees an urgent need to begin exploring alternatives for VHR enforcement, as the Treasurer-Tax Collector has indicated that the workload associated with the enforcement function is unsustatinable given current staff resources. She has also stated that based on her research, no other Treasurer-Tax Collector in the state is responsible for VHR enforcement activities. In recognition of this, the Ad Hoc Committee recommends conceptual approval of ordinance revisions to potentially move responsibility for some VHR functions out of the Treasurer-Tax Collector's Office. This will provide staff with additional time to analyze alternatives. It is likely that draft ordinance language will be brought forward at the same time as any additional ordinance revisions that are approved in concept by the Board.

# ALTERNATIVES

The Board could choose not to approve conceptual revisions, in which case the enforcement function would continue to reside in the Treasurer-Tax Collector's Office. The Board could choose to defer such approval to be included with other conceptual changes to the ordinance.

### **OTHER DEPARTMENT / AGENCY INVOLVEMENT**

Treasurer-Tax Collector, Chief Administrative Office

# CAO RECOMMENDATION / COMMENTS

Approve as recommended.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

No direct fiscal impact related to conceptual approval; however, if staff determines that additional resources are needed, funding will have to be identified.

CLERK OF THE BOARD FOLLOW UP ACTIONS  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N/A}}$ 

STRATEGIC PLAN COMPONENT

Good Governance

#### CONTACT

Don Ashton, Chief Administrative Officer Sue Hennike, Principal Analyst